



Titre: Ozonation of Primary Sludge and Digested Sludge to Increase  Methane Production in a Chemically Enhanced Primary Treatment Facility				
Jaime Alexis Chacana Olivares, Marc-André Labelle, Antoine Laporte, Alain Gadbois, Benoit Barbeau, & Yves Comeau				
2017				
Article de revue / Article				
Chacana Olivares, J. A., Labelle, MA., Laporte, A., Gadbois, A., Barbeau, B., & Comeau, Y. (2017). Ozonation of Primary Sludge and Digested Sludge to Increase Methane Production in a Chemically Enhanced Primary Treatment Facility. Ozone: Science & Engineering, 39(3), 148-158. https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2017.1301247				

# Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie Open Access document in PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: PolyPublie URL:	https://publications.polymtl.ca/9087/		
Version:	Version finale avant publication / Accepted version Révisé par les pairs / Refereed		
Conditions d'utilisation: Terms of Use:	Tous droits réservés / All rights reserved		

# Document publié chez l'éditeur officiel Document issued by the official publisher

<b>Titre de la revue:</b> Journal Title:	Ozone: Science & Engineering (vol. 39, no. 3)
<b>Maison d'édition:</b> Publisher:	Taylor & Francis
<b>URL officiel:</b> Official URL:	https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2017.1301247
Mention légale: Legal notice:	This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Ozone: Science & Engineering (vol. 39, no. 3) in 2017, available online: https://doi.org/10.1080/01919512.2017.1301247

### Ozonation of primary sludge and digested sludge to increase methane production

# 2 in a chemically enhanced primary treatment facility

- 3 Jaime Chacana<sup>1\*</sup>, Marc-André Labelle<sup>1,2</sup>, Antoine Laporte<sup>3</sup>, Alain Gadbois<sup>4</sup>, Benoit
- 4 Barbeau<sup>1</sup>, Yves Comeau<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Polytechnique Montreal, <sup>2</sup>WSP Canada Inc., <sup>3</sup>City of Repentigny, <sup>4</sup>Veolia

- 7 CONTACT Jaime Chacana, Department of Civil, Geological and Mining Engineering,
- 8 Polytechnique Montreal, 2900, Edouard-Montpetit Boulevard, Montreal, Quebec, H3T
- 9 1J4, Canada. Email: jaime.chacana@polymtl.ca

### **ABSTRACT**

The purpose of this research was the investigation of the ozonation of sludge as a method to improve anaerobic digestion performance in a chemically enhanced primary treatment facility. Batch tests were conducted to evaluate the effect of ozonation on the physicochemical characteristics of both primary and digested sludge. Then, the performance of semi-continuous anaerobic digesters in combination with ozone treatment was investigated (pre-ozonation and post-ozonation). Ozonation of primary sludge did not increase the soluble COD nor the biodegradable COD, but resulted in the mineralization of a fraction of the organic matter into CO<sub>2</sub>. However, the ozonation of anaerobic digested sludge resulted in an increase in soluble COD and biodegradable COD and in a small level of mineralization at the dose of 90 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD. Pre-ozonation of primary sludge was not effective in enhancing the performance of the anaerobic digester. The coupling of ozonation and anaerobic digestion by means of the post-ozonation of digested sludge was found to be effective in improving methane production (+16%), for COD removal efficiency and for the dewaterability of anaerobic digesters compared to the control digester.

Keywords: Ozone; anaerobic digestion; digested sludge; primary sludge; methane production

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Physicochemical and biological processes in wastewater treatment result in the generation of a large amount of sludge. Sludge treatment and disposal represent a major factor in the design and operation of water resource recovery facilities (WRRFs) (Erden, Demir, and Filibeli 2010). Increasing sludge production, costs of sludge treatment and disposal, and stringent regulations, have resulted in the development of new strategies to reduce sludge production (Wei et al. 2003). Anaerobic digestion is commonly used for sludge reduction and methane production; furthermore, its performance can be improved with mechanical, chemical, thermal and other biological methods (Weemaes et al. 2000). Chemical oxidation with ozone is one of the preferred chemical treatments, which not only permits sludge reduction, but is also considered to be effective in enhancing methane production via the oxidation and solubilization of sludge (Weemaes et al. 2000). To enhance the methane production of a chemically enhanced primary treatment (CEPT) facility, ozonation can be applied to primary sludge upstream of the anaerobic digester (pre-ozonation) or in the recirculation loop of the anaerobic digester (postozonation). Ozone treatment targets the enhancement of anaerobic digestion processes by altering the physicochemical properties and biodegradability of sludge (Weemaes et al. 2000; Meng, Liu, and Frigon 2015). Past studies on the effect of ozone have mainly focused on activated sludge. Limited information about the effect of ozonation on primary sludge (PS) and anaerobic digested sludge (DS) is available. Some studies have investigated the effect of ozone on COD reduction, solubilization of nutrients, and the impact on methane production potential (Weemaes et al. 2000; Manterola, Uriarte, and Sancho 2008; Meng, Liu, and Frigon 2015), but the partial and total oxidation of organic matter as a mechanism of COD reduction and the impact on the biodegradability of COD fractions of sludge samples have been not studied. A better understanding of the effect of ozone on PS and DS will provide valuable information for the design, operation and optimization of pre- and post-ozonation systems for a CEPT facility.

60 The main objective of this study was the evaluation of the ozonation of sludge as a 61 method to increase the methane production of anaerobic digestion in a CEPT facility. 62 This study first analyzes the impact of ozonation on PS and DS, in terms of changes in sludge and supernatant physicochemical characteristics at varying ozone doses. 63 64 Second, the coupling of ozonation with anaerobic digesters was evaluated in two process configurations, pre-ozonation of PS and post-ozonation of DS each combined 65 66 with semi-continuous lab-scale anaerobic digesters. The effects of ozone dose on 67 methane production, COD removal efficiency, sludge settling properties and dewatering 68 conditions were evaluated.

#### 2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Sludge ozonation

69

70

88

71 Sludge samples were collected from the Repentigny WRRF in Quebec. The plant treats 72 an average flow of 25 000 m<sup>3</sup>/d by a CEPT process. The PS evacuated from the settling 73 tanks are treated by mesophilic anaerobic digestion (35°C) with a hydraulic retention 74 time of 19 days. PS and DS were collected from settling tanks and anaerobic digesters, 75 respectively. Samples were sieved through a 5 mm sieve to remove large debris and 76 stored at 4 °C until use. 77 Sludge ozonation was accomplished in a 3.8 L column operated in a closed-loop. 78 Ozone gas was injected into the reactor by means of a venturi injector (Model 484X, 79 Mazzei, USA), while the sludge was recirculated with a peristaltic pump at 6 L/min. 80 Ozone was generated from pure oxygen by an ozone generator (Model Peak 2X, 81 Pinnacle, USA) producing 6 L STP/min at 12% by weight. The ozone concentration in 82 the feed gas was measured with an ultraviolet (UV) ozone meter (BMT 964, BMT 83 Messtechnik GmbH, Germany), whereas the amount of ozone in the off-gas was 84 measured with the standard iodometric method (Rakness 2005). The highest ozone 85 doses required to operate the closed-loop system for a period of 16 and 8.4 min for PS 86 and DS, respectively. Under these conditions, average ozone transfer efficiency was 87 calculated as 68 and 73%, respectively.

# 2.2 Analytical methods

Total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS) were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA et al. 2012). Samples were analyzed for total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), orthophosphate (o-PO<sub>4</sub>) and total phosphorus (TP) by the QuickChem Method 8500 (Lachat Instruments, USA). Ammonia was measured by the AmVer™ Salicylate Test 'N Tube™ Method (Hach Method 10031). Nitrate and nitrite were analyzed by Chromotropic Acid Test 'N Tube Method (Hach Method 10020) and USEPA Diazotization Method (HACH Method 10207), respectively. Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and alkalinity were measured by a titration method (Lutzhoft et al. 2014). The ozonation experiments were performed in triplicate for ozone doses between 0 to 220 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD. Heavy metals in DS were measured using an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (Agilent 7700x, Agilent Technologies, Germany) with sludge and filtered samples (S-Pak 0.45 µm filter, Millipore, USA) being acidified with a solution of hydrochloric acid and nitric acid before measurement. Solubilization of heavy metals (S<sub>HV</sub>), was used to represent the release of heavy metal during ozonation (Wan et al. 2014). This was calculated by Eq. (1):

$$S_{HV} = (C_{sD0} - C_{sO3})/C_{T0}$$
 (1)

where  $C_{T0}$  is the concentration of heavy metals in the sludge before ozonation,  $C_{SD0}$  is the concentration of heavy metals in the filtered sample before ozonation and  $C_{SO3}$  is the concentration of heavy metals in the filtered sample after ozonation.  $S_{HV}$  was calculated for each measured heavy metal as well as for the total amount heavy metals. The particle size distribution (PSD) was measured by laser granulometry (Mastersizer 3000, Malvern Instruments Ltd., U.K.). The type of particle was considered to be opaque (Fraunhofer approximation) as is recommended for sludge samples (Govoreanu 2004). The particle distributions were expressed in volume equivalent particles in a range of 0.01 to 3500  $\mu$ m.

119

#### 2.3 COD fractionation

120 Chemical oxygen demand (COD) characterization of ozonated samples and controls 121 was performed by a physicochemical separation method and a biodegradability assay 122 (Wentzel 1999; Lu, Zhang, and Zhang 2010). A control was tested to evaluate the effect 123 of treatment without ozone injection. COD were measured by using HACH methods 124 (HACH Reactor Digestion Method 8000). The physicochemical COD characterization of 125 sludge was classified into three major components: soluble COD (Scod), colloidal COD 126 (C<sub>COD</sub>) and particulate COD (X<sub>COD</sub>). Likewise, each of these components was 127 subdivided into biodegradable and non-biodegradable fractions. 128 Initially, the samples were centrifuged at 2000 g for 2 minutes to remove very large 129 particles (>> 1.2 µm). After centrifugation, the remaining suspension was filtered using 130 Whatman GF (1.2 µm) filters. Then, a portion of the filtered suspension was flocculated 131 with 1 g/L ZnSO<sub>4</sub> solution and the suspension was filtered using 0.10 µm filters 132 (Supor®-100 membrane filter, PALL Life Sciences, USA). Scop was measured on the 133 0.1 filtered samples, the colloidal + soluble COD (CS<sub>COD</sub>) was defined as the obtained 134 from the 1.2 µm-filtered samples and the total COD was measured on the samples 135 before the initial centrifugation. CCOD was determined from the difference between 136 CSCOD and SCOD. XCOD was calculated from the difference between the total COD and 137 CSCOD. 138 Anaerobic biodegradability was determined by batch biochemical methane potential 139 (BMP) test (Saha, Eskicioglu, and Marin 2011; Raposo et al. 2011). The biodegradability 140 of the resulting fractions was presented in terms of biodegradable COD and non-141 biodegradable COD. Batch tests were performed under mesophilic conditions (at 35 °C) 142 in 160 mL glass bottles. The sludge from the mesophilic anaerobic digester from the 143 Repentigny WRRF was used as inoculum for tests. Then, samples from flocculation + 144 filtration, 1.2 µm-filtered sample and total samples were submitted to BMP assays. 145 Biodegradable COD of samples was calculated indirectly from the theoretical methane 146 yield of 350 mL STP CH<sub>4</sub>/g COD, considering the conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> to COD. A gas

- manometer (model DG25, Ashcroft, USA) was used to measure the biogas production.
- 148 The methane gas content was measured with a gas chromatograph (model GC-456,
- 149 Bruker, USA) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (150 °C).

# 150 2.4 Mineralization and partial oxidation of COD

Total COD, total organic carbon (TOC), TS, and the CO<sub>2</sub> in the off gas of the ozone reactor were analysed to monitor the effect of ozonation on sludge. Before ozonation of sludge samples, the headspace of the ozone reactor was purged with nitrogen gas to avoid any interference with the CO<sub>2</sub> present in the headspace of the reactor. TOC was analyzed with a Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (Dohrmann DC 190, Rosemount Analytical, USA), and the CO<sub>2</sub> in the gas was measured by gas chromatography (model GC-456, Bruker, USA). The following equations were used to determine the percentage of COD decrease triggered by partial oxidation (μ<sub>CODpartox</sub>, Eq. 3) and mineralization of organic matter (μ<sub>CODmin</sub>, Eq. 4) (Carbajo et al. 2007):

$$COD_{partox} = TOC_{i} \cdot (COD_{0}/TOC_{0}) - COD_{i}$$
 (2)

$$\mu_{\text{CODpartox}} = 100 \cdot \text{COD}_{\text{partox}} / (\text{COD}_0 - \text{COD}_i)$$
 (3)

$$\mu_{\text{CODmin}} = 100 - \mu_{\text{CODpartox}}$$
 (4)

- where COD<sub>0</sub> and TOC<sub>0</sub> are the total COD and TOC of sample before ozonation; COD<sub>i</sub> and TOC<sub>i</sub> are the total COD and TOC of sample after ozone treatment.
- 164 2.5 Evaluation of foaming potential
  - The foaming potential of samples was determined based on the method of Kougias et al. (2013). The foam formation tendency was evaluated by adding a 50 mL sample to a cylinder that was aerated at an air flow rate of 100 mL/min during 5 min. After the aeration period, the volume of the foam formed was recorded. The foam stability was estimated by stopping the air supply and measuring the remaining foam after 30 min. The foam tendency was defined as the foam volume after aeration (mL) per flow rate of air (mL/min) and the foam stability was defined as the foam volume remaining in the

172 cylinder, 30 min after aeration (mL) per foam volume after aeration (mL). Measurements of foam potential were conducted in duplicate.

174

173

175

176

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

## 2.6 Coupling of sludge ozonation and anaerobic digestion

177 Coupling sludge ozonation with anaerobic digestion was performed in two process 178 configurations. The first one was pre-ozonation of PS in combination with anaerobic 179 digestion while the second configuration was post-ozonation of DS. For each 180 configuration, an anaerobic digester was operated in parallel with a control digester not 181 receiving ozonated sludge.

The lab-scale anaerobic digesters consisted of cylindrical PVC tanks (9.0 L) equipped with a magnetic stirrer. The digesters were operated in semi-batch mode, with manual sampling and feeding. The organic loading rate and the hydraulic retention time were controlled at 1.3 kg VS·m<sup>-3</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> and 19 days, respectively. The temperature and pH of digesters were maintained at 35 °C and 7.0, respectively. Biogas production was measured by a respirometry system operated in anaerobic mode (AER-200, Challenge Technology, USA). Biogas production was periodically collected and its methane content was measured by using a gas chromatograph (model GC-456, Bruker, USA).

190 VS, pH and biogas production were monitored daily.

The start-up of anaerobic digesters was carried out using DS from the Repentigny WRRF. Throughout the course of the start-up and ozone experiments, the digesters were fed with PS. DS samples were collected every 1 to 2 weeks from Repentigny WRRF. The samples were adjusted to 40 g COD/L with distilled water and then stored at 4 °C until use. The reactors were fed with PS at an average flowrate of 420 mL/d.

After stabilization of VS in the sludge effluent, the digesters were operated in a preozonation mode. For this configuration, the first digester was fed with ozonated PS at ozone doses of 5, 25 and 75 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD, while the second digester was fed with PS without ozone treatment (control digester). Afterwards, both digesters were again stabilized with unozonated PS. Then, the digesters were operated in a post-ozonation configuration. Both digesters were fed with PS, but a fraction of DS was withdrawn as

the same sample was fed to the digester after the ozone treatment. The recycling rate (mL·d<sup>-1</sup>/mL·d<sup>-1</sup>) was defined as the ratio between the ozonated flow rate and the influent flow. For each scenario, the ozone dose was applied in the range needed to produce the maximum impact on increasing the biodegradability at recycling rates between 0 and 1.2. The ozonation of sludge was performed two to three times per week and the ozonated sludge was stored at 4°C.

For each experiment, the digesters were operated until VS stabilization, which took a minimum duration of 1 month, and then the samples of DS, biogas and PS were collected during three consecutive days for analysis. Dewatering and sludge settling properties were also evaluated by means of capillary suction time (CST) (304B CST-meter, Triton Electronics, UK) time to filter (TTF) and sludge volume index (SVI) (APHA et al. 2012).

#### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

- 215 3.1 Effect of ozonation on primary sludge and anaerobic digested sludge
- 216 3.1.1 COD fractionation

The effect of ozonation was evaluated based on the physicochemical and biodegradable characterization of COD. COD fractionation of PS and DS is presented in Figure 1a and 1b, respectively. More detailed information is presented in Table S1 and S2 (Supplementary Information). Prior to ozonation, the predominant COD fractions in the PS and DS were particulate COD (95 and 88%, respectively), whereas the colloidal and soluble fractions were very small. The non-biodegradable fraction of the PS and DS were 38 and 83%, respectively. This large difference of biodegradability between these the two sludges is attributed to the effect of anaerobic digestion degrading a large part of the biodegradable fraction. Ozonation of PS did not significantly increase the soluble COD, and the impact on its biodegradable and non-biodegradable soluble fractions was low. However, ozonation of DS resulted in an increase in sludge solubilisation. The observed solubilization was lower than in previous studies reporting ozonation of activated sludge (Bougrier et al. 2007), which could be caused by the difference in the nature and composition of the organic matter of these samples. The mechanical effect

- 231 of pumping, evaluated by means of control, showed no significant impact on the
- 232 solubilization of organic matter.
- Ozonation is expected to generate soluble organic matter by the oxidation of organic
- 234 polymers and the release of intracellular compounds due to the damage and lysis of
- 235 bacteria (Manterola, Uriarte, and Sancho 2008; Meng, Liu, and Frigon 2015). The
- 236 higher release of soluble COD resulting from the ozonation of DS rather than PS can be
- caused to the higher content of microorganisms in the DS. High biodegradable colloidal
- 238 COD was also obtained by increasing the ozone dose of PS and DS (Figure 1a and 1b).
- 239 However, particulate COD decreased.
- 240 The biodegradable COD of DS increased from 2.5 to 3.9 g COD/L for an ozone dose of
- 241 90 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD, representing an increase of methane production of 55% (Figure 1b).
- 242 A similar effect was observed at 140 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD, but the methane production was
- 243 not significantly increased compared with the ozone dose of 90 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD (p <
- 244 0.01). Its non-biodegradable fraction, however, was reduced from 12.4 to 8.9 g/L at 140
- 245 mg  $O_3/g$  COD (p < 0.01). The increase of biodegradable COD was lower than the
- 246 decrease of non-biodegradable COD, which could be ascribed to the mineralization to
- 247 CO<sub>2</sub> of a fraction of the organic matter, as further discussed below.

#### 248 3.1.2 Mineralization and partial oxidation

- 249 Total COD, TOC, CO<sub>2(g)</sub> and total solids were determined during the ozonation of PS
- and DS to clarify the impact of ozone oxidation on sludge organic matter (Table 1). An
- increase in ozone dose resulted in a decrease in COD and TOC concentration. The
- 252 treatment of PS with an ozone dose of 220 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD achieved a COD decrease of
- 253 14% and a TOC decrease of 10%. Similarly, for the treatment of DS at an ozone dose
- of 210 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD, the COD and TOC decreased by 22% and 14%, respectively.
- 255 The partial oxidation and mineralization efficacy was evaluated at different ozone doses
- 256 (Figure 2a). A high partial oxidation efficiency was achieved for ozone doses below 30
- 257 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD and 90 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD for PS and DS, respectively. This indicates that for
- low ozone doses, the COD decrease is not only caused by the mineralization of organic
- 259 matter but also by the partial oxidation of organic matter into intermediate products. The
- 260 partial oxidation efficiency was lower for high ozone doses, indicating that a higher

fraction of COD decrease is due to the complete oxidation of organic matter into carbon dioxide and water. For the highest ozone doses, the percentage of COD decrease triggered by mineralization was 74 and 67% for PS and DS, respectively.

For the ozonation of DS, the maximum biodegradability coincides with a low mineralization of organic matter. The biodegradability of samples was reduced for higher ozone doses (90 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD), probably caused by the increased mineralization of organic matter. The low performance of ozone to increase the biodegradability of PS may be related to the high mineralization observed with low ozone doses.

High ozone doses decreased significantly the concentration of TOC confirming that the decrease of COD during ozonation is caused in part by the mineralization of organic matter. Furthermore, the increase of CO<sub>2</sub> in the off gas of the ozone reactor and the carbon mass balances further supports this conclusion (Table 1). The decrease in TOC during ozonation is consistent with previous studies on the ozonation of activated sludge which suggests that mineralization was the main mechanism of COD decrease (Déléris et al. 2000; Weemaes et al. 2000). However, our study indicates that the COD decrease not only results from mineralization but also from partial organic matter oxidation, especially for low ozone doses.

The sludge evaluation based on TS has shown a slight decrease reaching up to 6 and 8% for ozonation of PS and DS, respectively (Table 1). TS and TOC concentrations are strongly correlated following ozonation (Figure 2b), indicating that the mineralization of organic matter is the main mechanism of sludge mass reduction during ozone treatment. It has been reported that the ozone is able to oxidize most of the organic matter contained in a sludge, but the complete mineralization of sludge requires unrealistically high ozone doses (Déléris et al. 2000).

During ozonation, the effect of solubilization of organic matter appears to be most important at medium ozone doses, whereas mineralization of organic matter requires high ozone doses. The main impact of ozonation on DS was the increase of biodegradable COD and soluble COD, as well as the mineralization of organic matter.

These parameters could allow the increase in performance and/or capacity of anaerobic

291 digesters, due to the improved degradation of organic matter and the increased 292 methane production.

# 3.1.3 Particle size distribution

293

319

294 The effect of ozone treatment on the particle size of PS and DS is shown in Figures 3, 295 S1 and S2 (Supplementary Information). The particle size distribution (PSD) indicated 296 that ozone treatment causes the formation of smaller particles, as confirmed by the 297 decrease in the mean particle sizes ( $D_{\vee}50$ ) for both sludge samples (Figure 3a and b). 298 The ozone treatment of PS resulted in a decrease of D<sub>√</sub>50 up to 59% while its control 299 was reduced up to 47%. A similar behavior was observed for ozonated DS and its 300 control with a reduction of D<sub>v</sub>50 up to 49 and 39%, respectively. 301 During ozone treatment, the samples were subjected to the oxidizing effect of ozone 302 (and free radicals) and the mechanical effect of pumping due to sludge recirculation. 303 Therefore, these results indicate that the reduction of particle sizes during the treatment 304 was greatly influenced by the pumping of sludge and, to a lesser extent, by the action of 305 ozone. The mechanical friction exerted by pumping and recirculation of samples in the 306 ozone reactor likely caused the disaggregation of sludge, a process that has been 307 reported in several mechanical methods using a relatively low energy input (Müller 308 2000). It has not been possible to verify the effect of ozone on soluble molecules using 309 the laser granulometer due to the limitations of the device for measurement as well as 310 the inaccuracies related to the use of Fraunhofer diffraction theory for very small 311 colloids (Govoreanu 2004). However, as discussed previously, the soluble COD was not 312 increased through the pumping and recirculation of sludge samples (controls); thus, 313 these results suggest that the disaggregation of particles mainly affected the size 314 distribution of larger particles. The solubilization by cell disintegration requires a large 315 amount of mechanical energy (Müller 2000). Ozone oxidation causes cell disintegration, 316 releasing intracellular compounds from the microorganisms present in digested sludge, 317 thus, increasing the soluble matter, such as the COD fractionation assays that have 318 been shown for DS.

#### 3.2 Effect of ozone on solubilization of nitrogen and phosphorus

320 The effect of different ozone doses on nitrogen and phosphorus compounds was 321 evaluated in terms of filterable TKN (CS<sub>TKN</sub>), ammonia, nitrite, nitrate, filterable phosphorus (CS<sub>P</sub>), and orthophosphates. 322 323 During ozonation, CS<sub>TKN</sub> of PS and DS increased significantly from 180 to 200 mg N/L 324 (11%) and from 430 to 570 mg/L (33%), respectively (>200 mg O₃/g COD) (Figure 4a). 325 Ozone doses above 50 mg O<sub>3</sub>/COD reduced significantly the concentration of ammonia 326 in the PS, reaching a maximum decrease of 40% at 140 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD, but it was 327 slightly increased at higher ozone doses. Although the ozonation of DS showed an 328 initial decrease in ammonia, its concentration was increased up to 19% (p = 0.04) at 329 210 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD (Figure 4b). The increase in ammonia during the ozonation of DS

330 can be related to the hydrolysis of proteins from the solubilized organic matter (Bougrier 331

et al. 2007; Manterola, Uriarte, and Sancho 2008). Nitrate concentration increased

332 during ozonation (Figure 4c) but nitrite was initially oxidised (Figure 4d).

Phosphorus was also solubilized by ozonation. An increase in orthophosphate and total phosphorus in the soluble phase was observed for both sludge samples (Figure 4e and f). This increase in organics and nutrients in the soluble phase can be attributed to the lysis of extracellular polymeric substance of sludge flocs and of sludge cells (Meng, Liu, and Frigon 2015). Ozonation of DS resulted in a rapid increase of organic carbon (soluble COD) and nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) in solution.

338 339

340

333

334

335

336

337

# 3.3 Effect of ozone on alkalinity, VFAs and pH

341 The alkalinity of the ozonated PS was reduced from 850 to 460 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L at an 342 ozone dose of 220 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD, while the alkalinity of the DS was reduced from 1670 343 to 660 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L at an ozone dose of 210 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD (Figure 5a). While the 344 concentration of VFAs of the DS gradually increased during ozonation, the 345 concentration of VFAs of PS decreased, which is consistent with its low solubilization 346 and high mineralization (Figure 5b).

347 The pH of PS was decreased from 7.1 to 5.2 as ozone doses increased from 0 to 220 348 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD as illustrated in Figure 5C. The pH of the DS, however, was decreased 349 from 7.4 to 6.9 at ozone doses from 0 to 210 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD (Figure 5c). The decrease

- in sludge pH and alkalinity may be due to the production acids compounds, such as
- 351 carboxylic acids and VFAs, caused by the oxidation of organic matter (Bougrier 2005;
- 352 Weemaes et al. 2000).
- 353 3.4 Heavy metals solubilization
- 354 The changes in heavy metal concentrations during sludge ozonation of DS are
- presented in Table 2. Sludge showed a high content of Fe due to the use of Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>
- as a coagulant for the CEPT process of the Repentigny WRRF. The results showed that
- 357 heavy metals in sludge were released into solution at an ozone dose of 210 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g
- 358 COD. At this dose, the solubilization of COD increased to 8.1%, while the solubilization
- of total heavy metals only increased 1.0%. Molybdenum and nickel were the heavy
- metals that were released the most, reaching a solubilization up to 67 and 22%,
- 361 respectively. Although the content of iron was high in the sludge, its solubilization was
- very low (<1.0%). Iron solubilization might have been inhibited by the precipitation of
- 363  $Fe(OH)_3$  caused by the ozone oxidation of dissolved iron (Fe<sup>+2</sup>).
- 364 It has been reported that ozonation can release heavy metals from activated sludge due
- to the decrease in pH which facilitates its mobilization from the particulate matter to the
- 366 supernatant (Park et al. 2008). Therefore, a possible reason for the low observed
- impact on the solubilization of heavy metals during this study could be the low impact of
- 368 ozone on the pH of DS.

- 3.5 Foaming potential for ozonated samples
- 370 The foaming properties of PS and DS at different ozone doses are presented in Figure
- 371 6. Ozonation did not significantly increase the foaming tendency of PS. Otherwise, the
- foaming tendency of DS before ozonation was approximately 0.1 mL foam·mL air-1·min-
- 373 <sup>1</sup>, but after ozonation, it increased significantly to 7.7 mL foam·mL air<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>, thus,
- 374 representing an increase of 77 times in foam volume. The foams produced by ozonated
- 375 PS or DS were not stable and collapsed in less than 10 min once the air supply was
- 376 stopped. These results are in agreement with the experimental observations that during
- 377 the ozonation of DS, the foam increased as the operation time increased, consuming
- 378 the reactor space, but that no significant loss of foam was detected. During ozonation of

- 379 PS, there was no observed foam accumulation. Apparently, the internal recycle loop of
- 380 sludge used during ozonation allowed the foaming to be reduced by the mechanical
- 381 breaking of foam.
- 382 The impact of ozonation on foam development has been attributed to the increase of
- 383 concentrations of surface active agents in sludge supernatant, such as VFAs, proteins,
- and lipids, which have been recognized as foam-forming agents (Ganidi, Tyrrel, and
- 385 Cartmell 2009).
- 386 The excessive accumulation of foam can complicate the control of a process by
- 387 consuming reactor space and making inoperative the whole ozonation process
- 388 (Janknecht et al. 2001). Strategies for enhancing foam reduction could include the
- dosing of a foam inhibitor (Ganidi, Tyrrel, and Cartmell 2009).

## 390 3.6 Ozone treatment combined with anaerobic digestion

- 391 The evaluation of the performance of pre-ozonation showed that the effect of ozonation
- does not significantly improve the methane production of PS for ozone doses between 0
- 393 to 75 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD (Figure 7a). This coincides with the previous results from the COD
- 394 fractionation of ozonated PS, which showed a limited effect on biodegradability and
- 395 solubilization. Higher ozone doses were not evaluated considering the results obtained
- during the semi-continuous assays, as well as the previous COD fractionation assays
- 397 that showed an increased mineralization of sludge at higher doses of ozone, and
- therefore, a probable decrease of anaerobic digester performance.
- 399 In terms of the combination of ozonation with an anaerobic digester by means of post-
- 400 ozonation of DS, ozonation was found to be effective in increasing COD removal
- 401 leading to subsequent improvements in methane production (Figure 7b). The highest
- 402 methane production was achieved for an ozonated recycling rate of 1.2. The specific
- 403 methane production increased from 189 to 218 mL N CH<sub>4</sub>/g COD fed (+16%, p < 0.01)
- 404 and the COD removal efficiency was increased from 51 to 59% (p < 0.01) with respect
- 405 to the control digester. A higher recycling rate reduced the enhancement of anaerobic
- 406 digestion performance, probably due to the increased biomass lysis caused by ozone
- 407 compared to the growth rate of anaerobic biomass.

The post-ozonation of DS was the most effective configuration to operate with the anaerobic digesters, while the changes due to the pre-ozonation of PS were low. These results are consistent with anaerobic biodegradability tests performed in batch, in which the ozonation of DS produced a more pronounced increase of biodegradability than ozonation of PS. The increase in methane production depends on the initial biodegradability of the sludge with a greater effect on sludge containing a high fraction of non-biodegradable organic matter (Carrère et al. 2010).

A technico-economical evaluation has shown that the sludge ozonation requires greater operating and maintenance costs than the additional benefits from enhanced methane production; the post-ozonation requires approximately 0.15 USD/ kg COD, but these costs are reduced by 30 % due to the additional methane production and sludge reduction (Supplementary information). Full-scale application of ozone is an expensive alternative for improving anaerobic digester performance. However, a WRRF with available ozone for effluent disinfection could use the excess ozone capacity to improve anaerobic digester performance during winter, considering that the ozonation systems are expected only to operate at 100% capacity under the max flow and the disinfection requirements during this season are lower. This approach minimizes the capital expenditures, makes ozonation add flexibility for plant operation, as well as enhances the digester performance during this period of year. This alternative could be of interest for chemically enhanced primary treatment plants.

## 3.7 Evaluation of digested sludge dewaterability

- The effect of ozone treatment combined with anaerobic digestion on sludge filterability and settleability is presented in Figure 7c and d. The pre-ozonation configuration has no significant effect on sludge filterability, in terms of CST and TTF compared with the control digester (p > 0.18). Likewise, for this configuration, settleability, measured as SVI, did not significantly change (p > 0.07).
- Several studies have shown that ozonation deteriorates sludge filterability, which is heavily influenced by the increase of soluble COD (Scheminski, Krull, and Hempel 2000; Weemaes et al. 2000). Therefore, the low solubilization of COD caused by the ozonation of PS could explain the low impact of pre-ozonation on sludge dewaterability.

The post-ozonation configuration improved dewatering characteristics of sludge compared to the control digester: for a recycling rate of 1.2, the CST and SVI were decreased by 20% (p < 0.01), and 17% (p < 0.01) respectively, while the decrease of TTF was not significant (p = 0.30). The discrepancy between the TFF and the other indicators can be explained by the high imprecision of measurement methods; however, the trends show an improvement in dewaterability. These results agree in part with those reported in the literature, in which anaerobic digestion was shown to neutralize the negative effect of ozonation on sludge dewaterability (Foladori, Andreottola, Ziglio 2010; Weemaes et al. 2000). However, these results showed a larger effect than expected, possibly due to the high COD solubilization observed during DS ozonation, and the high biodegradation of solubilized COD during the anaerobic digestion. These results suggest that the post-ozonation configuration could effectively reduce the energy and reagents consumption required for the dewatering process.

# 452 **4 CONCLUSIONS**

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

476

- 453 Based on the results of this study, the following conclusions can be drawn:
- Ozonation of primary sludge did not result in an increase in soluble COD while the ozonation of anaerobic digested sludge did, resulting in an increase from 1.1 to 2.9 g COD/L at an ozone dose of 140 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD.
  - Biodegradable COD of primary sludge did not increase following ozonation.
    However, biodegradable COD of anaerobic digested sludge was increased from
    2.5 to 3.9 g COD/L for ozone doses up to 90 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD, representing an
    increase in methane production of 55%.
  - Ozonation caused the TOC mineralization of primary sludge and anaerobic digested sludge by 10 and 15%, respectively.
  - Post-ozonation of digested sludge was found to be effective for improving methane production (+16%), COD removal efficiencies, and dewaterability of anaerobic digesters compared to the control digester. However, the preozonation of primary sludge was not effective in enhancing the performance of the anaerobic digester.
  - The above findings provide a better understanding of the impact of ozone treatment in the anaerobic digestion of a chemically enhanced primary treatment.

This study was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of

#### Acknowledgements

- Canada (NSERC), Veolia, EnviroSim and the City of Repentigny. We thank the Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (CONICYT, Chile) for the
- 474 awarded Ph.D. fellowship. The authors also thank Pinnacle LLC (USA) for their
- technical contribution and for providing a high capacity ozone generator.

# 477 References

478	APHA, AWWA, and WEF. 2012. Standard methods for the examination of water and
479	wastewater. Washington, D.C: American Public Health Association, American
480	Water Works Association & Water Environment Federation.
481	Bougrier, C. 2005. "Optimisation du procédé de méthanisation par mise en place d'un
482	co-traitement physico-chimique : Application au gisement de biogaz représenté
483	par les boues d'épuration des eaux usées." PhD. thesis, Université Montepellier
484	II, Montepellier, France.
485	Bougrier, C., Battimelli, A., Delgenes, JP., and Carrere, H. 2007. "Combined ozone
486	pretreatment and anaerobic digestion for the reduction of biological sludge
487	production in wastewater treatment." Ozone: Science & Engineering 29(3): 201-
488	206. doi:10.1080/01919510701296754.
489	Carbajo, M., Beltrán, F. J., Gimeno, O., Acedo, B., and Rivas, F. J. 2007. "Ozonation of
490	phenolic wastewaters in the presence of a perovskite type catalyst". Applied
491	Catalysis B: Environmental 74(3–4): 203-210. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2007.02.007.
492	Carrère, H., Dumas, C., Battimelli, A., Batstone, D. J., Delgenes, J. P., Steyer, J. P.,
493	and Ferrer, I. 2010. "Pretreatment methods to improve sludge anaerobic
494	degradability: a review." Journal of Hazardous Materials 183(1-3): 1-15.
495	doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.06.129.
496	Déléris, S., Paul, E., Audic, J. M., Roustan, M., and Debellefontaine, H. 2000. "Effect of
497	ozonation on activated sludge solubilization and mineralization". Ozone: Science
498	& Engineering 22(5): 473-486. doi:10.1080/01919510009408791.

499 Erden, G., Demir, O., and Filibeli, A. 2010. "Disintegration of biological sludge: Effect of 500 ozone oxidation and ultrasonic treatment on aerobic digestibility." Bioresource 501 Technology 101(21): 8093-8098. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.019. 502 Foladori, P., Andreottola, G., and Ziglio, G. 2010. Sludge reduction technologies in 503 wastewater treatment plants. London, U.K., IWA Publishing. 504 Ganidi, N., Tyrrel, S., and Cartmell, E. 2009. "Anaerobic digestion foaming causes-a 505 review." Bioresource Technology 100(23): 5546-5554. 506 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.06.024. 507 Govoreanu, R. 2004. "Activated sludge flocculation dynamics: on-line measurement 508 methodology and modelling." PhD. thesis, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium. 509 Janknecht, P., Wilderer, P., Picard, C., and Larbot, A. 2001. "Ozone-water contacting 510 by ceramic membranes." Separation and purification technology 25(1): 341-346. 511 doi:10.1016/S1383-5866(01)00061-2. 512 Kougias, P. G., Tsapekos, P., Boe, K., and Angelidaki, I. 2013. "Antifoaming effect of 513 chemical compounds in manure biogas reactors." Water Research 47(16): 6280-514 6288. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2013.07.045. 515 Lu, P., Zhang, X., and Zhang, D. 2010. "An integrated system for wastewater COD 516 characterization and a case study." Water Science & Technology, 62(4): 866-74 517 doi:10.2166/wst.2010.338. 518 Lutzhoft, H. C., Boe, K., Fang, C., and Angelidaki, I. 2014. "Comparison of VFA titration" 519 procedures used for monitoring the biogas process." Water Research 54: 262-520 272. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2014.02.001.

521 Manterola, G., Uriarte, I., and Sancho, L. 2008. "The effect of operational parameters of 522 the process of sludge ozonation on the solubilisation of organic and nitrogenous 523 compounds." Water Research 42(12): 3191-3197. 524 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2008.03.014. Meng, X., Liu, D., and Frigon, M. 2015. "The process of activated sludge ozonation: 525 526 effect of ozone on cells, flocs, macromolecules and nutrient release." Water 527 Science and Technology 71(7): 1026-1032. doi:10.2166/wst.2015.066. 528 Müller, J. 2000. "Pretreatment processes for the recycling and reuse of sewage sludge." 529 Water Science and Technology 42(9): 167-174. Park, K. Y., Maeng, S. K., Song, K. G., and Ahn, K. H. 2008. "Ozone treatment of 530 531 wastewater sludge for reduction and stabilization." Journal of environmental 532 science and health 43(13): 1546-1550. doi:10.1080/10934520802293719. 533 Rakness, K. L. 2005. Ozone in drinking water treatment: process design, operation, and 534 optimization. Denver, Colorado: American Water Works Association. 535 Raposo, F., Fernández-Cegrí, V., De la Rubia, M. A., Borja, R., Béline, F., Cavinato, C., 536 Demirer, G., Fernández, B., Fernández-Polanco, M., Frigon, J.C., Ganesh, R., 537 Kaparaju, P., Koubova, J., Méndez, R., Menin, G., Peene, A., Scherer, P., 538 Torrijos, M., Uellendahl, H., Wierinck, I., and de Wilde, V. 2011. "Biochemical 539 methane potential (BMP) of solid organic substrates: evaluation of anaerobic 540 biodegradability using data from an international interlaboratory study." Journal of 541 Chemical Technology & Biotechnology 86(8): 1088-1098. doi:10.1002/jctb.2622.

542	Saha, M., Eskicioglu, C., and Marin, J. 2011. "Microwave, ultrasonic and chemo-
543	mechanical pretreatments for enhancing methane potential of pulp mill
544	wastewater treatment sludge." Bioresource technology 102(17): 7815-7826.
545	doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.06.053.
546	Scheminski, A., Krull, R., and Hempel, D. 2000. "Oxidative treatment of digested
547	sewage sludge with ozone." Water Science and Technology 42(9): 151-158.
548	Wan, T., Zhang, G., Gao, F., and Lu, H. 2014. "Solubilization of heavy metals in sludge
549	during sonication: impact of sonication time and power density." Environmental
550	Engineering and Management Journal 13(10): 2625-2632.
551	Weemaes, M., Grootaerd, H., Simoens, F., and Verstraete, W. 2000. "Anaerobic
552	digestion of ozonized biosolids." Water Research 34(8): 2330-2336.
553	.doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00373-5
554	Wei, Y., Van Houten, R. T., Borger, A. R., Eikelboom, D. H., and Fan, Y. 2003.
555	"Minimization of excess sludge production for biological wastewater treatment."
556	Water Research 37(18): 4453-4467. doi:10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00441-X.
557	Wentzel, M., Mbewe, A., Lakay, M., and Ekama, G. 1999. "Batch test for
558	characterisation of the carbonaceous materials in municipal wastewaters." Water
559	S. A., 25(3): 327-336.
560	

# 561 Tables and figures

562

Table 1: Sludge characteristics before and after ozonation.

Sample	Dose	COD	тос	TS	Carbon mass balance		
					TOC loss	CO <sub>2</sub> gas	Balance
	mg O <sub>3</sub> /g COD	g COD/L	g C/L	g/L	mg C	mg C	%
	0	43.5	10.0	42.9	0	0	
	10	42.3	10.0	42.8	49	57	118
PS	30	41.4	9.9	42.5	228	164	72
<b>P3</b>	50	39.8	9.6	42.0	551	303	55
	140	38.9	9.3	41.2	401	340	85
	220	37.3	9.0	40.2	521	427	82
	0	14.9	4.2	18.3	0	0	
	50	14.4	4.2	18.2	32	33	102
DC	90	13.8	4.2	18.1	76	76	99
DS	140	13.4	4.0	17.7	283	171	60
	170	12.4	3.9	17.3	245	211	86
	210	11.6	3.6	16.8	357	271	76

Table 2. Heavy metal solubilization after sludge ozonation (DS; 210 mg O<sub>3</sub>/g COD).

Metals	Sludge	Superna	atant (μg/L)	Solubilization (%)
	(μg/L)	Control	Ozonated	
As	32	5.0	5.2	0.63
Cd	5.4	<0.20	0.23	0.6-4.3
Со	95	7.3	13	6.0
Cr	250	2.7	3.2	0.20
Cu	2300	13	34	0.91
Fe	1 900 000	3500	21 000	0.92
Mo	37	8.3	33	67
Ni	260	34	91	22
Pb	130	4	6.8	2.2
Se	<24	2	4.3	10-54
Zn	3800	16	38	0.58




Figure 1: Effect of ozonation on COD fractionation of (a) primary sludge (D0 = 0; D1 = 10; D2 = 30; D3 = 50; D4 = 140; D5 = 220 mg  $O_3/g$  COD) and (b) anaerobic digested sludge (D0 = 0; D1 = 50; D2 = 90; D3 = 140; D4 = 1740; D5 = 210 mg  $O_3/g$  COD). Particulate unbiodegradable COD ( $X_u$ ); particulate biodegradable COD ( $X_b$ ); colloidal unbiodegradable COD ( $X_u$ ); soluble biodegradable COD ( $X_b$ ).





Figure 2: (a) Effect of ozone dose on the partial oxidation efficiency. (b) Correlation between total solids and TOC. (●) Primary sludge; (■) Anaerobic digested sludge.






Figure 3: Effect of ozone dose on particle size (median Dv50) of (a) primary sludge and (b) anaerobic digested sludge.




Figure 4: Effect of sludge ozonation on nitrogen and phosphorus compounds: (a) filterable TKN, (b) ammonia, (c) nitrate, (d) nitrite, (e) filterable phosphorus and (f) orthophosphates. (•) Primary sludge; (•) Anaerobic digested sludge.




Figure 5: Effect of ozonation on (a) alkalinity, (b) VFAs and (c) pH.




Figure 6: Evaluation of foam tendency during ozonation of sludge samples.

