



<b>Titre:</b> Title:	Can photonic heterostructures provably outperform single-material geometries?	
Auteurs: Authors:	Alessio Amaolo, Pengning Chao, Thomas J. Maldonado, Sean Molesky, & Alejandro W. Rodríguez	
Date:	2024	
Туре:	Article de revue / Article	
Référence: Citation:	Amaolo, A., Chao, P., Maldonado, T. J., Molesky, S., & Rodríguez, A. W. (2024). Can photonic heterostructures provably outperform single-material geometries? Nanophotonics, 13(3), 283-288. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/nanoph-2023-0606</u>	

## **Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie** Open Access document in PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: PolyPublie URL:	https://publications.polymtl.ca/57336/
Version:	Matériel supplémentaire / Supplementary material Révisé par les pairs / Refereed
Conditions d'utilisation: Terms of Use:	СС ВҮ

# **Document publié chez l'éditeur officiel** Document issued by the official publisher

<b>Titre de la revue:</b> Journal Title:	Nanophotonics (vol. 13, no. 3)
Maison d'édition: Publisher:	De Gruyter
URL officiel: Official URL:	https://doi.org/10.1515/nanoph-2023-0606
Mention légale: Legal notice:	© 2024 the author(s), published by De Gruyter. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

### Supplemental Material for "Can photonic heterostructures provably outperform single-material geometries?"

Alessio Amaolo,<sup>1</sup> Pengning Chao,<sup>2</sup> Thomas J. Maldonado,<sup>3</sup> Sean Molesky,<sup>4</sup> and Alejandro W. Rodriguez<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering,

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Engineering Physics, Polytechnique Montréal, Montréal, Québec H3T 1J4, Canada

(Dated: December 13, 2023)

#### COMPUTING THE LAGRANGE DUAL OF THE MANY-MATERIAL PROBLEM

The primal optimization problem takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{\{Tk,m\}} & f(\{|T_{k,m}\rangle\}) \\
\text{s.t.} & \sum_{m} \left( \left\langle S_{k} | \mathbb{P}_{j} | T_{k',m} \right\rangle - \left\langle T_{k,m} | \chi_{k,m}^{-\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} | T_{k',m} \right\rangle - \sum_{m'} \left\langle T_{k,m} | - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} | T_{k',m'} \right\rangle \right) &= 0 \quad \forall j,k,k', \qquad (S1) \\
& \left\langle T_{k,m} | \mathbb{P}_{j} | T_{k',m'} \right\rangle &= 0 \quad \forall j,k,k', m \neq m'.
\end{aligned}$$

This notation differs from the main text via  $\psi_{k,m} \to T_{k,m}$ . As in the main text,  $|S_k\rangle$  is a source k, the polarization current due to source k is  $|T_k\rangle = \sum_m |T_{k,m}\rangle$  with  $|T_{k,m}\rangle$  the polarization current due to source k and material m and is defined in the design region V.  $\chi_{k,m}$  is the susceptibility of material m at  $\omega_k$ , and  $\mathbb{G}_0^{(k)}$  is the corresponding vacuum propagator acting on sources to yield their corresponding fields in vacuum—namely, via convolution of the vacuum Green's function  $G_0^{(k)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_k)$  satisfying  $\frac{c^2}{\omega_k^2}\nabla\times\nabla\times G_0^{(k)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_k) - G_0^{(k)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_k) = \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$ . I and  $\mathbb{P}_j$  represent spatial projections onto either the full or a subset  $V_j \in V$  of the design region V, respectively. Lastly, f is a quadratic function of the polarization currents  $|T_{k,m}\rangle$ .

For the first constraint, we will take the real and imaginary parts and write the Lagrange multiplier corresponding to a given j, k, k' as  $\lambda_{R/I}^{j,k,k'}$  (symmetric and asymmetric constraints respectively). For the second, we will use Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_{SO/AO}^{k,k',m,m'}$  (symmetric/asymmetric orthogonal constraint). Now we can write

$$\mathcal{L}(T,S) = \begin{bmatrix} \langle T_{opt} | \ \langle S | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -Z^{TT}(\lambda) & Z^{TS}(\lambda) \\ Z^{ST}(\lambda) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} |T_{opt}\rangle \\ |S\rangle \end{bmatrix},$$
(S2)

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Lagrangian,  $|T_{opt}\rangle = [|T_{1,1}\rangle |T_{1,2}\rangle \dots |T_{1,m}\rangle \dots |T_{2,1}\rangle \dots |T_{n_s,m}\rangle]^T$  for  $n_s$  sources and m materials,  $|S\rangle = [|S_1\rangle \dots |S_{n_s}\rangle]^T$ , and  $Z^{TT}$  and  $Z^{TS} = Z^{ST\dagger}$  matrices represent the quadratic and linear parts of the Lagrangian, respectively. We also denote N the numerical length of a single  $|T_{k,m}\rangle$  vector.

Writing out the constraints we find

$$Z^{TS} = \mathbb{O}_{lin} + \sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{R}^{j,1,1} \mathbb{P}_{j} \dots \lambda_{R}^{j,n_{s},1} \mathbb{P}_{j} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{R}^{j,1,1} \mathbb{P}_{j} \dots \lambda_{R}^{j,n_{s},n_{s}} \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{R}^{j,1,n_{s}} \mathbb{P}_{j} \dots \lambda_{R}^{j,n_{s},n_{s}} \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \\ -\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{I}^{j,1,n_{s}} \mathbb{P}_{j} \dots \lambda_{I}^{j,n_{s},n_{s}} \mathbb{P}_{j} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{I}^{j,1,1} \mathbb{P}_{j} \dots \lambda_{I}^{j,n_{s},1} \mathbb{P}_{j} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{I}^{j,1,1} \mathbb{P}_{j} \dots \lambda_{I}^{j,n_{s},1} \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{I}^{j,1,n_{s}} \mathbb{P}_{j} \dots \lambda_{I}^{j,n_{s},n_{s}} \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \\ Z^{ST} = Z^{TS^{\dagger}}, \qquad (S4)$$

where  $\mathbb{O}_{lin}$  is the linear part of the objective.

$$Z^{TT} = \mathbb{O}_{quad} + \sum_{j} \begin{bmatrix} R_{1,1}^{j} & \dots & R_{1,n_{s}}^{j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{n_{s},1}^{j} & \dots & R_{n_{s},n_{s}}^{j} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j} \begin{bmatrix} I_{1,1}^{j} & \dots & I_{1,n_{s}}^{j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ I_{n_{s},1}^{j} & \dots & I_{n_{s},n_{s}}^{j} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j} \begin{bmatrix} S_{1,1}^{j} + A_{1,1}^{j} & \dots & S_{1,n_{s}}^{j} + A_{1,n_{s}}^{j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{n_{s},1}^{j} + A_{n_{s},1}^{j} & \dots & S_{n_{s},n_{s}}^{j} + A_{n_{s},n_{s}}^{j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (S5)$$

with

$$R_{k,k'}^{j} = R_{k',k}^{j\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{R}^{j,k,k'} \begin{bmatrix} (\chi_{k,1}^{-1\dagger} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger}) \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & -\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & (\chi_{k,m}^{-1\dagger} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger}) \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{R}^{j,k',k} \begin{bmatrix} (\chi_{k',1}^{-1} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')}) \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & -\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')} \mathbb{P}_{j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')} \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & (\chi_{k',m}^{-1} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')}) \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix},$$
(S6a)  
$$I_{k,k'}^{j} = I_{k',k}^{j\dagger} = \frac{1}{2i} \lambda_{I}^{j,k,k'} \begin{bmatrix} (\chi_{k,1}^{-1\dagger} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger}) \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & -\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & (\chi_{k,m}^{-1\dagger} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger}) \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix} \\ -\frac{1}{2i} \lambda_{I}^{j,k',k} \begin{bmatrix} (\chi_{k',1}^{-1} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')}) \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & (\chi_{k',m}^{-1} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')}) \mathbb{P}_{j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')} \mathbb{P}_{j} & \dots & (\chi_{k',m}^{-1} \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')}) \mathbb{P}_{j} \end{bmatrix},$$
(S6b)

with  $-\mathbb{G}_0^{(k)\dagger}\mathbb{P}_j$  or  $-\mathbb{G}_0^{(k')}\mathbb{P}_j$  present in every off-diagonal element. Furthermore,

$$S_{k,k'}^{j} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{SO}^{j,k,k',1,2} & \dots & \mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{SO}^{j,k,k',1,m} \\ \mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{SO}^{j,k,k',1,2} & 0 & \dots & \mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{SO}^{j,k,k',2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{P}_{i} \lambda^{j,k,k',1,m} & \mathbb{P}_{i} \lambda^{j,k',2,m}_{SO} & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = S_{k,k'}^{j} = S_{k',k}^{j},$$
(S7a)

$$A_{k,k'}^{j} = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{AO}^{j,k,k',1,2} & \dots & \mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{AO}^{j,k,k',1,m} \\ -\mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{AO}^{j,k,k',1,2} & 0 & \dots & \mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{AO}^{j,k,k',2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{AO}^{j,k,k',1,m} & -\mathbb{P}_{j} \lambda_{AO}^{j,k,k',2,m} & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A_{k,k'}^{j\dagger} = -A_{k',k}^{j}.$$
(S7b)  
(S7c)

 $\mathbb{O}_{quad}$  is the quadratic part of the objective and all R, I, S, A are  $m \times m$  block matrices of  $N \times N$  matrices. We can compute the dual function  $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda) = \sup_{|T\rangle} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, T).$$
(S8)

We find the stationary point  $|T^*\rangle$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  by solving the relation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \left\langle T^* \right|} = 0,\tag{S9}$$

which leads to the linear system

$$Z^{TT} | T^* \rangle = Z^{TS} | S \rangle. \tag{S10}$$

In order for the dual to be finite,  $Z^{TT}$  must be positive definite, so this linear system is invertible, leading to

$$|T^*\rangle = Z^{TT-1}Z^{TS} |S\rangle, \qquad (S11)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda) = \langle S | Z^{ST} Z^{TT-1} Z^{TS} | S \rangle.$$
(S12)

Finally,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial \lambda_i} = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \langle T^* | \frac{\partial Z^{TS}}{\partial \lambda_i} | S \rangle \right\} - \langle T^* | \frac{\partial Z^{TT}}{\partial \lambda_i} | T^* \rangle \,. \tag{S13}$$

In the specific case of maximizing absorption,  $\mathbb{O}_{lin} = 0$ . Absorbed power is

$$\sum_{k,m} \frac{Zc}{2\omega_k} \left\langle T_{k,m} \right| \frac{\operatorname{Im} \chi_{k,m}}{\left| \chi_{k,m} \right|^2} \left| T_{k,m} \right\rangle,$$
(S14)

with Z the vacuum impedance, giving us  $\mathbb{O}_{quad}$  (being careful of the negative sign in Eq. (S2)). Each term can be normalized as desired by the incident or total power.

#### SOLVING THE DUAL PROBLEM

In order to solve the convex dual problem using an interior point method, we must first find an initial feasible point. This requires choosing  $\lambda$  such that  $Z^{TT}$  is positive definite, thereby ensuring that  $Z^{TT}$  is invertible and therefore that the dual is well defined. This can be done reliably by leveraging the fact that the imaginary part of the Maxwell Green's function,  $\text{Im } \mathbb{G}_0^{(k)}$ , is positive semidefinite [4]. Take  $\mathbb{P}_{j=0} = \mathbb{I}$  to be the projector corresponding to global constraints, which is always enforced in our implementation. By setting all Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda = 0$  except  $\lambda_I^{j=0,k,k}$ 

for all k, we set  $R_{k,k'}^j = S_{k,k'}^j = A_{k,k'}^j = 0$  for all k, k', j. This also sets  $I_{k,k'}^j = 0$  for all  $j, k \neq k'$  and, although not necessary, for all  $j \neq 0, k = k'$ . The quadratic part of  $\mathcal{L}$  becomes

$$Z^{TT} = \mathbb{O}_{quad} + \begin{bmatrix} I_{1,1}^0 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \dots & I_{n_s,n_s}^0 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (S15)

with  $I_{k,k}^0$  taking the following block diagonal form:

$$I_{k,k}^{0} = \lambda_{I}^{0,k,k} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Asym} \left( \mathbb{I}\chi_{k,1}^{-1\dagger} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \right) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \operatorname{Asym} \left( \mathbb{I}\chi_{k,m}^{-1\dagger} - \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \right) \end{bmatrix} \\ = \lambda_{I}^{0,k,k} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I}\frac{\operatorname{Im}\chi_{k,1}}{|\chi_{k,1}|^{2}} + \operatorname{Asym}\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \mathbb{I}\frac{\operatorname{Im}\chi_{k,1}}{|\chi_{k,m}|^{2}} + \operatorname{Asym}\mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix},$$
(S16)

which is positive definite for  $\operatorname{Im} \chi_{k,m} > 0$ . Therefore, as long as all materials have some loss (as they do in the main text), we can simply increase  $\lambda_I^{0,k,k}$  for all k to make  $Z^{TT}(\lambda)$  positive definite for any finite  $\mathbb{O}_{quad}$ . We initialize all bounds calculations in the main text (where  $n_s = 1$ ) by setting  $\lambda_I^{0,k,k} = 1 \quad \forall k$ . When  $\operatorname{Im} \chi_{k,m} = 0$ , we may be able to generalize the technique utilized in Ref [1] (Supporting Information, Section 11).

#### DESIGN REGIONS WITH NON-VACUUM BACKGROUNDS

The calculations in the previous section assumed the design region has vacuum background. However, the scattering theory can be readily modified to include non-vacuum backgrounds. We define  $\chi_b$  as the background susceptibility,  $\Delta\chi_{k,m} \equiv \chi_{k,m} - \chi_b$ , and write the total field at at frequency k as  $E_{k,tot}$ . We also define the difference in polarization  $|\Delta T_{k,m}\rangle \equiv |T_{k,m}\rangle - |T_b\rangle \sim \Delta\chi_{k,m}E_{k,tot}$  where  $|T_b\rangle$  is the polarization of the background. The Green's function including the background  $\mathbb{G}_b^{(k)}$  is defined to map a difference in polarization to its resulting field:  $\mathbb{G}_b^{(k)} |\Delta T_{k,m}\rangle$  is the scattered field due to the additional polarization. Lastly, assuming  $|S_k\rangle$  is sourced by some current  $J_{vac}$ , we replace  $|S_k\rangle \rightarrow |S_{k,b}\rangle$  by the field sourced by the same  $J_{vac}$  in the presence of the background design region. Overall, the scattering theory is defined by the relations  $|E_{k,tot}\rangle \sim |S_{k,b}\rangle + \sum_m \mathbb{G}_b^{(k)} |\Delta T_{k,m}\rangle$ . The new optimization problem can be written

$$\max_{\{\Delta T_{k,m}\}} f(\{|T_b\rangle, |\Delta T_{k,m}\rangle\})$$
s.t.
$$\sum_{m} \left( \langle S_{k,b} | \mathbb{P}_j | \Delta T_{k',m} \rangle - \langle \Delta T_{k,m} | \Delta \chi_{k,m}^{-\dagger} \mathbb{P}_j | \Delta T_{k',m} \rangle - \sum_{m'} \langle \Delta T_{k,m} | - \mathbb{G}_b^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_j | \Delta T_{k',m'} \rangle \right) = 0 \quad \forall j, k, k', \\
\langle \Delta T_{k,m} | \mathbb{P}_j | \Delta T_{k',m'} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j, k, k', m \neq m'.$$
(S17)

where f must be modified accordingly by replacement of  $|T_{k,m}\rangle \rightarrow \Delta T_{k,m} + |T_b\rangle$ .

#### NUMERICAL DETAILS: SPARSE FORMULATION

The optimization problem described in Eq. S1 contains a very large number of constraints, making the calculation of  $Z^{TT}$  computationally expensive. However, noting that  $\mathbb{G}_0$  is proportional to the inverse of the sparse Maxwell

operator  $\mathbb{M}$ , we can rewrite the constraints in Eq. S1

$$\sum_{m} \left( \left\langle S_{k} \right| \mathbb{P}_{j} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{-(k')} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')} \left| T_{k',m} \right\rangle - \left\langle T_{k,m} \right| \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{-(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} \chi_{k,m}^{-\dagger} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{-(k')} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')} \left| T_{k',m} \right\rangle - \sum_{m'} \left\langle T_{k,m} \right| \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{-(k)\dagger} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{-(k')} \mathbb{P}_{j} G_{0}^{-(k')} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')} \left| T_{k',m'} \right\rangle \right) = 0 \quad \forall j,k,k',$$

$$\left\langle T_{k,m} \right| \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k)\dagger} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{-(k)\dagger} \mathbb{P}_{j} G_{0}^{-(k')} \mathbb{G}_{0}^{(k')} \left| T_{k',m'} \right\rangle = 0 \quad \forall j,k,k', m \neq m'.$$
(S18)

Now, taking  $\mathbb{G}_0^{(k)} |T_{k,m}\rangle$  as the new optimization vector and cancelling inverses, all constraints can be represented using sparse matrices.  $\mathbb{G}_0^{-1}$  can be calculated using Woodbury inversion of known Maxwell operators.

#### **INVERSE DESIGN DETAILS**

All calculations were run at increasing resolutions until converged. Multiple material topology optimization was done by writing  $\epsilon = \epsilon_2 + (\epsilon_1 + (\epsilon_{\text{background}} - \epsilon_1)\rho_2 - \epsilon_2)\rho_1$  for  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in [0, 1]$  and optimizing over the continuous variables  $\rho_1, \rho_2$ . The derivatives of the objective with respect to modifications in  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  were computed with Ceviche [2]. The resulting optimization problem was solved with NLopt [3].

Inverse designs are often binarized to reflect realistic devices. In the multi-material case, we define a binarized design as one where each pixel is exclusively one of the available materials. To better compare with bounds (which at high enough resolutions mimic the behavior of non-binarized devices), inverse designs were not deliberately binarized with the exception of when vacuum is not available in the optimization problem. In these examples shown, binarization only marginally affected performance.

[4] Leung Tsang, Jin Au Kong, and Kung-Hau Ding. Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves: Theories and Applications, volume 27. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.

Pengning Chao, Rodrick Kuate Defo, Sean Molesky, and Alejandro Rodriguez. Maximum electromagnetic local density of states via material structuring. *Nanophotonics*, 12(3):549–557, 2 2023.

 <sup>[2]</sup> Tyler W Hughes, Ian AD Williamson, Momchil Minkov, and Shanhui Fan. Forward-mode differentiation of maxwell's equations. ACS Photonics, 6(11):3010–3016, 2019.

<sup>[3]</sup> Steven G. Johnson. The NLopt nonlinear-optimization package. https://github.com/stevengj/nlopt, 2007.