



Titre: Review of: "The nexus between energy policies and supply: a descriptive evaluation of Nigeria and UK energy sectors"
Title:

Auteurs: Carole Madeleine Brunet
Authors:

Date: 2023

Type: Article de revue / Article

Référence: Brunet, C. M. (2023). Review of: "The nexus between energy policies and supply: a descriptive evaluation of Nigeria and UK energy sectors" [Discussion or Letter]. Qeios, C61U3D (1 page). <https://doi.org/10.32388/c61u3d>
Citation:

Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: <https://publications.polymtl.ca/54348/>
PolyPublie URL:

Version: Version officielle de l'éditeur / Published version
Révisé par les pairs / Refereed

Conditions d'utilisation: CC BY
Terms of Use:

Document publié chez l'éditeur officiel

Document issued by the official publisher

Titre de la revue: Qeios
Journal Title:

Maison d'édition: Qeios
Publisher:

URL officiel: <https://doi.org/10.32388/c61u3d>
Official URL:

Mention légale: Open Access : CC-BY 4.0
Legal notice:

Review of: "The Nexus between Energy Policies and Supply: A Descriptive Evaluation of Nigeria and UK Energy Sectors"

Carole Madeleine Brunet

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, your article is interesting. Nevertheless, to improve it, I suggest the following 5 points.

1/The introduction gives an overview of the energy situation in Nigeria, the issues involved, and the main purpose of the paper. This is a good start. Nevertheless, the reader would have liked to know what the point of such a comparison is. Yes, the UK is the former coloniser of Nigeria, and one can understand this comparison. But why should Nigeria have followed in the footsteps of the UK given the radically different social, economic and political contexts?

2/Concerning part 2 of your article, and in order to have a more exhaustive approach, I suggest that you take into account the impacts of the first industrial revolution (1700-1914) which allowed the economic, technological and industrial development of the United Kingdom. I would also encourage you to mention the economic schools that have linked the triangular market to the rise of this first industrial revolution (Nigeria thus eventually contributed to the industrialisation of its colonising country).

3/The reader would appreciate seeing in your article a timeline summarising the energy policies presented in parts 221 and 222. This would make it easier to compare developments in Nigeria and the UK.

4/ The methodology section should be called 3 and not 2. Section 3.2 (data) lacks references to the World Bank and Index Mundi. Section 3 (Results and Discussion) should be called 4 and not 3. Section 4 (Conclusion) should be called 5 and not 4

5/The discussion section would benefit from considering two aspects that could bring a complementary angle to your results, as well as more references to deepen your demonstration:

- the natural resource curse theory (of which there is a substantial literature, and which helps to understand the weight of fossil fuels in some economies)
- the thinking of the following authors (who will shed light on how energy policy decisions fit together) Daron, A., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. New York: Crown Business.

I do hope that you will find my comments constructive in enhancing your work.