



Titre: Correction: Insect netting: Effect of mesh size and shape on exclusion of some fruit pests and natural enemies under laboratory and orchard conditions
Title:

Auteurs: Gérald Chouinard, F. Pelletier, M. Larose, Simon Knoch, C. Pouchet, M. J. Dumont, & Jason Robert Tavares
Authors:

Date: 2023

Type: Article de revue / Article


Référence: Chouinard, G., Pelletier, F., Larose, M., Knoch, S., Pouchet, C., Dumont, M. J., & Tavares, J. R. (2023). Correction: Insect netting: Effect of mesh size and shape on exclusion of some fruit pests and natural enemies under laboratory and orchard conditions. *Journal of Pest Science*, 96(2), 871-873.
Citation: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-023-01589-6>

 **Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie**
Open Access document in PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: <https://publications.polymtl.ca/52485/>
PolyPublie URL:

Version: Erratum
Révisé par les pairs / Refereed

Conditions d'utilisation: CC BY
Terms of Use:

 **Document publié chez l'éditeur officiel**
Document issued by the official publisher

Titre de la revue: Journal of Pest Science (vol. 96, no. 2)
Journal Title:

Maison d'édition: Springer Heidelberg
Publisher:

URL officiel: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-023-01589-6>
Official URL:

Mention légale: This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.
Legal notice:



Correction: Insect netting: effect of mesh size and shape on exclusion of some fruit pests and natural enemies under laboratory and orchard conditions

G. Chouinard¹ · F. Pelletier¹ · M. Larose¹ · S. Knoch² · C. Pouchet¹ · M.-J. Dumont³ · J. R. Tavares²

Published online: 11 January 2023
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

Correction: Journal of Pest Science

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-022-01582-5>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified an error in Tables 1 and 2.

The french word “centrer” should be replaced with “mm” in Tables 1 and 2.

The correct tables are given below.

The original article has been revised.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-022-01582-5>.

✉ G. Chouinard
gerald.chouinard@irda.qc.ca

¹ Institut de Recherche et de Développement en Agroenvironnement (IRDA), Saint-Bruno-de-Montarville J3V 0G7, Canada

² CREPEC, Department of Chemical Engineering, Polytechnique Montréal, Montreal H3C 3A7, Canada

³ CREPEC, Department of Chemical Engineering, Université Laval, Québec G1V 0A6, Canada

Table 1 Percentage of individuals of selected pest species (mean \pm SEM) not crossing nets of different geometric patterns with an equal aperture size (area). Different letters indicate significant differences (ANOVA (*R. pomonella*) or Kruskal–Wallis (*D. suzukii*), $\alpha = 0.05$). *a* = height; *b* = width

Mesh pattern	Area: 5.29 mm ²		Area: 1.69 mm ²		<i>D. suzukii</i> (males)
	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	
Hexagon	2.5	2.9	1.4	1.6	15.7 \pm 6.4 a
Square	2.3	2.3	1.3	1.3	24.8 \pm 9.5 a
Rhombus	2.5	4.3	1.4	2.4	50.2 \pm 6.2 a
Triangle	3.0	3.5	2.0	1.7	40.8 \pm 9.9 a
Rectangle	1.6	3.3	0.9	1.8	100.0 \pm 0.0 b

Table 2 Percentage of individuals of selected beneficials (mean \pm SEM) not crossing nets of different geometric patterns with an equal aperture size (area). Different letters indicate significant differences (ANOVA, $\alpha = 0.05$). *a* = width; *b* = length

Mesh pattern	Area: 7.84 mm ²		Area: 0.49 mm ²		<i>A. abdominalis</i> (males and females)
	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	
Hexagon ¹					NA
Square	2.8	2.8	0.7	0.7	9.4 \pm 2.7 a
Rhombus	3.0	5.2	0.8	1.3	7.0 \pm 0.6 a
Triangle	3.7	4.3	1.1	0.9	5.7 \pm 1.2 a
Rectangle	2.0	4.0	0.5	1.0	4.1 \pm 2.3 a

¹The hexagon pattern was tested only with pest species for reasons of material availability

as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will

need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.