



<b>Titre:</b> Title:	Manufacturing composite beams reinforced with three- dimensionally patterned-oriented carbon nanotubes through microfluidic infiltration
Auteurs: Authors:	Rouhollah Dermanaki Farahani, Maryam Pahlavanpour, Hamid Dalir, Brahim Aïssa, My Ali El Khakani, Martin Lévesque, & Daniel Therriault
Date:	2012
Туре:	Article de revue / Article
Référence: Citation:	Farahani, R. D., Pahlavanpour, M., Dalir, H., Aïssa, B., Khakani, M. A. E., Lévesque, M., & Therriault, D. (2012). Manufacturing composite beams reinforced with three-dimensionally patterned-oriented carbon nanotubes through microfluidic infiltration. Materials & Design, 41, 214-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.05.005

# **Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie**

Open Access document in PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: PolyPublie URL:	https://publications.polymtl.ca/10398/
Version:	Version finale avant publication / Accepted version Révisé par les pairs / Refereed
Conditions d'utilisation: Terms of Use:	CC BY-NC-ND

Document publié chez l'éditeur officiel Document issued by the official publisher						
<b>Titre de la revue:</b> Journal Title:	Materials & Design (vol. 41)					
Maison d'édition: Publisher:	Elsevier					
URL officiel: Official URL:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.05.005					
Mention légale: Legal notice:	© 2012. This is the author's version of an article that appeared in Materials & Design (vol. 41) . The final published version is available at <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2012.05.005</u> . This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by- nc-nd/4.0/					

**Ce fichier a été téléchargé à partir de PolyPublie, le dépôt institutionnel de Polytechnique Montréal** This file has been downloaded from PolyPublie, the institutional repository of Polytechnique Montréal Manufacturing composite beams reinforced with three-dimensionally patterned-oriented carbon nanotubes through microfluidic infiltration

Rouhollah D. Farahan iMaryam Pahlavan poul Hamid Dalir, Brahim Aissa, My Ali El Khakan, Martin Lévesque Daniel Therriault\*

<sup>a</sup> Center for Applied Research on Polymers and Composites (CREPEC), Mechangineering Department, École Polytechnique Mentréal, C.P. 6079Succ.CentreVille, Montreal (QC), Canada H3C 3A7

<sup>b</sup> Institut National de la Recherche Scientifique, INÉGergie, Matériaux et Télécommunications, 1650 Blvd.Lionel-Boulet, Varennes (QC Canada J3X 1S2

\* Corresponding author: Phone: 1-514-34**0**711 x4419 Fax: 1-514-340-4176 E-mail: <u>daniel.therriault@polymtl.ca</u>

## Abstract

Functionalized isgle-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs)/epoxy nanocomposite suspensions ereprepared anithjected into threedimensional (3D) interconnected microfluidic networks in order to abricate composite beams reinforced with patterned oriented nanotubes the microfluidicnetworks were fabricated by the obotized direct deposition of fugitive ink filaments in layer-by-layer sequence onto substrates, followed by their epoxy encapsulation and the ink removal. Then, the nanocomposite suspensions prepared by ultrasonication and threefoll mill mixing methods vereinjected into the mpty networks under two different controlled and conspares sures order to subject the suspensions to different sheaconditions in the microchannels Morphological studies

revealed that the SWCNTs were preferentially ned in the microchannels along the were direction at the higher injection pressure. The improvement of Young's modulus of the manufacture D-reinforced rectangular be prepared at the high injection pressure was almost doubled when compared to that of beams prepared at the low injection pressure. Finally, the stiffness of the D-reinforced beams was compared with the theoretically predicted values obtained from micromechanical mode The analytical predictions give a close estimation of the stiffness at different microjection conditions. Based on the experimental and theoretical results, the present manufacturing techniques enables spatial orientation for nanotube in the final product by taking advantage of shear flow combined with dimensional constraining inside the microfluidic channels.

## Keywords: Nanocomposites, Nanotube orientatianalytical modeling

## 1. Introduction

Singlewalled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) reinforced polymer nanocomposites have attracted considerable attention for a wide varietypopflicationssuch ashigh-performance polymer composite[1], actuators and sensors [2], shape memory polymers [3], electrostatic microvalve[st] and communication systems [5]. Production of high quality carbon nanotube **C**(NTs) having large aspect ratio heir proper dispersion and orientation in polymer matrices as well as the provement of interfacial ondingare the main parameter affecting nanocomposite mechanical performan [st]. Grafting chemical groups to the surface of CNTs is usual approacto minimizenanotubes agglomeration and also to enhance their interfacial teractions with the polymer matrix[7-9]. Carboxylic groupsgrafted during the acid purification process of the CNTs [10]s well as the noncovalent functionalization using surfactants like porphy[rln]s, cansignificantly improve interfacial stress transfer[1, 13].

in the direction of the flow where the degree of orientation directly depending extent of appliedshear[14,15]. However, depending one type of flow, most of the nanotubes remain randomly oriented and and earinduced orientation of CNTs takes place only at brigh shear zones Dimensional constraining effect on CNTs orientation in 1D and 23 been employed in several nancemposite processing techniques including respinning and electrospinning [17], compression molding [16] trusion[18] and film casting[19]. None of these techniques enable manufacturing a final product with sufficient boont the three dimensional (3D) orientation of the reinforcement with nanocomposite suspendianes been developed to an ufacture 3D einforced microstructure bear[20]. This approach typically attempts to design optimized microstructure is different thermosetting matrices and nanofillers However, these studies have not addrets even of manufacturing process conditions consist as channels diameter jection pressures rates on CNTs orientation arits resulting influence on the mechanical properties of 3D reinforced beams.

In this paper 3D-reinforced microstructure beams were manufactured via micro injection of 3D microfluidic networks with purifiedSWCNTs/epoxy nanocomposite suspensionat different injection pressure ster curing the nanocomposite suspension, the final product was a rectangular beam reinforced with a complex anocomposite microfiber scaffold. The main goal is the fabrication of nanocomposite bear for ceid with three dimensionally oriented SWCNTs by taking the advantages of high shear flow and also dimensional constraining in smoother interconnected microfluidic channels. In addition to dimensional constraining, the microchannels present large shear surfaces, involved in the shear-induced orientation of CNITs effective processelated apparent shear rateinside the microfluidic channels in microjection process were estimated from capillary viscometryThe morphology of the nanocomposite and rol forced beams were characterized under scanning electron microscopy (SEM) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and heir mechanical properties were measured under tensile testing Furthermore, a micromechanical model is used to predict the effective stiffing manufactured 3D einforced beams order to estimate if the manufactured samples

reached their full potentia/Dur results provide sufficient evidence for the effectives of the present manufacturing approach to enhance the stiffness of the nanoce materials caused by homogenously aligning CNTs throughout the final product.

# 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Materials

The SWCNTs were produced by means of the pulsed laser ablation technique, using an excimer KrF laser (248 nm, 20 ns, 50 Hz, 300 with) a graphite target and Co/Ni FDWDO\VWLQDTXUQDFHDW[21]. Tub&aspQduDeQISV/CNRSQDWPRVSK were chemically purified and functionalized by refluxing them in al-BNQ3 (Sigma Aldrich) solution for 5h (more details on the SWCNTs purification can be found elsewhere [10]). Zinc Protoporphyrin IX(ZnPP) obtained from Sigma Aldrich was used the surfactant. The two epoxy systems used in this study wespectial onecomponent dual cure (ultraviolet/heat curable) epoxy resin (HeVxy, UV15DC80, Master Bond Inc.) and a two-component epoxy system composed of EPON resir(NBB2er-Stephenson Chemical Companinc.) and ANCAMINE 2049(Air Products Inc.) as the hardeneThe UV-epoxy used here contains a UV photo-initiator having an optimal absorption at 365 nm and a heatinitiator active in the 60 80°C range.

# 2.2. Preparation of nanocomposites

The nanocompositesere prepared by blening the UV-epoxy and purified SWCNTs at two loads of 0.5wt% and 1wt% The desired amount of purified SWCNTs was added to a solution of 0.1 mM of zinc protoporphyrin X in acetone (Sigm Aldrich). The suspension was sonicated in an ultrasonic bath (Ultrasonic cleaner 8891, Raoneer) for 30 min The UV-epoxy was there slowly mixed with the nanotube suspension in acetomer amagnetic stirring hot plate (Model SP131825, Barnstead internation 20°C for 4 h. After stirring, the nanocompositenix ture was simultaneously sonicated ametated in the ultrasonication bath at 50°C for 1 hThe residual trace of olventwas evaporated by heating the nanocompositenix ture at 30°C for 12 hand at 50°C for 24 h in a vacuumed-ov (excole

Parmer) After the evaporation of he solvent, the nanocomposites were passed through threeroll mill mixer (Exakt 80E, Exakt Technologies) for final high shear mixing gaps between the olls varied in three atchwise processing steps including 5 passes at 25 µm, 5 passes at 10 µm and 10 passes at 5 µm, respectively. The space poon roll wasset to 250 RPM. The final mixture washen degassed under vacuum for 24 h.

#### 2.3. Micro-injection of 3D microfluidic networks

Threedimensional ricroscaffolds were fabricated using amputer-controlled robot (I & J2200-4, I & J Fisnar) that moves a dispensing apparet BFX, EFD) along thex, y andz axes[22,23]. The fabrication of the microscaffold began with the deposition of the ink-based filaments on an epoxy substrate, leading to a two-dimensional pattern. fugitive ink was a 40 wt% binary mixture of a microcrystalline wax (SP18hStra Pitstch) and a petroleum jelly (Lever Pond's). The following layers where by successively incrementing tage osition of the dispensing and by the diameter of the filaments. The 3D microscaffold consisted of eleven layers of fugitive ink filaments, deposited alternatively along and perpendicular to the scaffold longitudiaals. The filament diameter was 150 µm for a deposition speed of 4.7 mm/s at an extrusion pressure of 1.9 MPa. The overall dimensions of the 3D ink structure were 62 mm in length, 8 mm in width and 1.7 mm in thickness with 0.25 mm spacing between filanderesemptyspace between the caffold filaments was filled with the same poxy resin used for the substrate fabrication Uponthecuring of the epoxy, the fugitive ink was removed from the structure by the liquefaction at 100°C and applying vacuum, yielding an interconnected 3D microfluidic network. Figure 1a shows a schematic of a typical rectangular beam which consists of a microfluidic network embedded in the epoxy resin with its overall **dome**ns

The created tubulanicrofluidic network wasfilled by nanocomposite suspension, through a plastic tube attached to the opened channels using the fluid dispenser as shown in Figure 1b. The microinjection processed to the fabrication of 3Deinforced nanocomposite rectangular bears, nanocomposition pressure beams) The injection pressure waseteither to 0.7 MPa (defined as ow injection pressure) or 4.2 MPa (defined



Figure 1. Illustration of the manufacturing process of a 3D beam reinforced wighter and localized SWCNTsthrough micreinjection of 3D microfluidic network: (a) overall dimensions of the **nonfluidic** network beams, fabricated by **tdirect**-writing of the fugitive ink upon epoxy encapsulation and ink removal, (b) micro-injection of the empty network with nanocomposite suspension which **b** the **f** abrication of 3D reinforced beams (the arrow shows the direction of miniperction flow), (c) isometricimage of a 3D reinforced beam, (d) typical cross ction of a nanocomposite jected beam, showing the configuration of microchannels filled with nanocomposites.

ashigh injection pressure). For comparison purposes, beams filled with pure UV-epoxy (defined as resimjected beams) were also prepared or the injection, the beams filled by the UV-epoxy- and its nanocomposites were put under illumination of a UV lamp (RK-97600-00, ColeParme) for 30 min for pre-curing in order to avoid effect of Brownian motion on the CNTs orientatioResim and nanocomposite beams were then post-cured in the oven at 80 °C for 1 h followed by 130°C for another 1 h. The beams were cut and polished to the desired dimensions (i.e., ~60 mm in length, ~6.8 mm in width and ~1.6mm in thicknesstor mechanical anthorphological characterization sigure 1c shows an isometric view of NaC-injected beam, prepared by the nanocomposite suspension with the nanotube load of 0.5wt% and Figure 1d shows of the beam (microscale).

#### 2.4. Nanotube and nanocomposites orphological characterizations

The purified SWCNTs werebserved by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a Jeol JEM2100F (FEG-TEM, 200 kV) microscope. The nanotubReaman spectra were acquired at room temperature in the 100 - 2000sprectral region under ambient conditions using a backcattering geometry on a microRaman spectrater(Renishaw Imaging Microscope Wire TM) with a 50x objective to focus the laser beam oarthpees Sample excitation was performed using a 514.5 nm (2V/) line from an air cooled Ar+ laser. In addition, the SWCNTs were characterized bravy/ophotoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Escalab 220i-XL system, VG instruments) using the monochromatic redifferation as the excitation source (1486.6 eV, full widthhalf-maximum of the Ag 3d5/2 line = 1 eV at 20 eV pass energyFracture surface of the 302 inforced and the bulk nanocomposite beams were observed using field emission scanning electron microscopyM(FIESEE, JSM-7600TFE) at 2 kV in order to observe the failure mothes.orientation state of the CNTs in theNC-injected beamswasstudied under EM (JEOL, JEM2100F).Prior to observation, the samples were prepared by ultramicrotoming blCrinejected beams surfaces using a diamond knife at room temperature.

### 2.5. Viscosity characterization

Since he degree of CNTs orientation depends on the shear rate (or applied injection pressure) of nanocomposite flow, the shear conditions throthermicro-injection of 3D microfluidic networkswerestudied at the two different injection pressures are complexity of the nanocomposite flow pattern inside the complex 3D interconnected microfluidic network prevents accurate shear conditions to be characterized. Since the accurate modeling of the nanocomposite flow is not the main focus of this study pate assumption varsmade to estimate the procense ated shear rates encountered interco-injection process. The microfluidic network was assumed to different is orresponding to proceed the procense and apparent viscosity of the process of the process and apparent viscosity of the process of t

pure UV-epoxy and its nanocomittee in the microfluidic networkwere estimate from an experimental method based on capillary viscom [dt0y24]. For the purpose of similarity (i.e., similar flow conditions in micronjection process and capillary viscomet(ty)) e materials were extruded through a micro-nozzle (5132-02; Precision Stainless Steel Tips, EFD,L = ~20 mm andD = 100 µm) under the same applied pressure used for the micro-injection of empty microchannels with nanocomposites (i.e., 0.7 MPa and 4.2 MPa). To obtain the materials flow rate, ten continuous filaments of materials deposited ver a glass substratesing the computer-controlled robot and the fluid dispective after the deposition, the materials were cured under illumination to UV lamp for 5 min. The flow rates of the materials were calculated from the coestion of the filaments and the deposition speed controlled by dispensing apparatus. The coefficient and the filaments were (ImagePro Plus v5, Media Cybernetics)) he processelated apparent shear rate and the processrelated apparent viscosity were calculated based on capillary viscometario for the process and capillary visc

# 2.6. Mechanical properties

Mechanical propertie(s.e., tensile modulus, strength and elongation at break) of the beams were measured integasile testing machine (Instro4400R) with a load cell of 5Nk according to the ASTM D638 standard. The crosshead speed states of mm/min and typical dimensions of the sample beams were mon ×6.8 mm × 16 mm.

# 3. Mechanical modeling

A threestep analytical homogenization occdure was developed to estimate the resin or NC-injected beamseffective mechanical properties igure 2. The first homogenization step was used to estimate the mechanical properties based of the beams layers were calculated the second homogenization step. The third step was used to derive jet beams effective properties. The different phases (i.e., the epoxymatrix in the microfibers, the EPON

First step of homogenization	Second step of homogenization
Third step of homogenization	

Figure 2 Schematic of homogenization steps

862 matrix around the microfibers and the carbon nanotubee) **asser** med to be linearly elastic and perfectly bonded. The Moranaka metho [25] was used in the first and second homogenization the Classical Lamination Theory was used at the last step According to the MoriFanaka scheme, the effective stiffneessor, C<sub>MT</sub>, for a two phase material is given by:

$$C_{MT} = C_m = c_i [(C_i = C_m): T][(1 = c_i)I = c_i T]^{-1},$$
 (1)

where  $C_m$  and  $C_i$  refer respectively to matrix and reinforcements tiffness tensor and  $c_i$  is thereinforcements volume fraction. T is given by:

T 
$$[I \ S:C_{m}^{-1}:(C_{i} \ C_{m})]^{-1},$$
 (2)

where **S** is the fourtherder Eshelb's tensor [26] that depends on the reinforcement shape as well as the matrix properties (the detailed exprestion Eshelb's tensor can be found in Appendix A).

Equation () leads to a transversely isotropiaterial if it is applied to a composite reinforced by aligned carbon nanotubes.



Figure 3. (a) Euler angles, (b) Orientation Probability Density Function (**OP2DE** (c) probability of finding a CNT oriented atr10<sup>q</sup> from  $X_2$ .

When nanotubes are oriented arbitrarily, a weighted orientation averaging must be us obtain the effective elasticity tens  $\langle c_{c} \rangle$ , as:

where g T, ME is the Orientation Probability Density Function (OP[DE7]) and

$$C^{Tr}$$
,  $T$ ,  $M$  RE, ,  $\mathcal{L}_{MT}MR$  E, ,  <sup>$I$</sup> ,  $T$   $M$  E (4)

where R and R<sup>T</sup> (details on R can be found in [2]] are the corresponding rotation matrix and its transpose,  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  as shown in Figurea3are the Euler anglessed for defining the CNT orientations. The OPDF can be interpreted as the probabilitying ba CNT oriented according to specific values of  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ Note thatsin( $\mathcal{T}$ ) in equation ( $\mathcal{A}$ is due to the transformation to the spherical coordinate systeming the injection process, the shearing forces **axis**ymmetric with respect to the flow axis a result, it was assumed that the CNT orientation distribution was also **axis**/rin with respect to the fiber axis X<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, g( $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  wassimplified to g( $\mathcal{T}$ [27]. In this study, the OPDF introduced by Maekawa et [28]

$$g(\overline{\eta} = \frac{(\sin^{-1})^{2^{p-1}}(\cos^{\overline{\sigma}})^{2^{Q-1}}}{\underset{0}{\overset{S}{\overset{S}{\overset{P}{1}}}}, \qquad (5)$$

where P and Q are parameters accounting for the degree of reinforcement alignment, was used Table1 lists different values of P and Q and the corresponding orientatio R to so of OPDF for four different values of P and Q are illustrated in Figure 3b. Figure 3c shows the probability of finding a CNT oriented  $a_{10}$  from X<sub>2</sub>.

Since nanotubes tend to form bundles, the elastic properties of SWCNT bundles reported in [29]wereused as the reinforcementoperties in the model:

$C_{_{Nanotube}}$	40.68	12.40	39.32	0	0	Ø	
	12.40	625.72	12.40	0	0	0×	
	39.32	12.40	40.68	0	0	0ĸ	$(\mathbf{c})$
	0	0	0	2.44	0	0«.	(6)
	0	0	0	0	1.36	0 «	
	0	0	0	0	0	2.4≰	

		0
Orientation	Р	Q
Random	0.5	0.5
Partially aligned (20%)	0.5	1.5
Partially aligned(50%)	0.5	8
Aligned (99%)	0.5	150

Table 1. Values of P andQ and the corresponding orientations.

Equations () to (5) were used for the first homogenization step where was set to  $C_{Nanotube}$ . The UVepoxy was assumed to be isotropic with a Young's modulus of 1.32 GPa and a Poisson's ratio of 0.3. Nanotube bundle bundle arbitrarily set to 200 and two different volume fractions (V. F.), 0.5 and 1% (equal to weight fractioner CNT and epoxy matrix have imilar density) we considered

For the second homogenization stepcle layer was considered as a unidirectional ply (i.e. composites with completely aligned fibers). Equation (1) was used  $C_{\text{with}}$  ual to  $\langle C \rangle$  obtained in the first step. The EPON 862 mix as assumed to be isotropic with Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of 3.1 GPa@a@drespectively. The aspect ratio of the fibers(the ratio of their lengtbover their diameter) was set to 400 (i.e., long fibers).

The composite earn consists of two parts; longitudinal layers and transverse layers. The volume fraction of fibers in each layer was 4.67%, based on the number and dimension of fibers Therefore, the earns stiffness tens  $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{Total}}$ , was obtained according to the Composite Laminate Teory as

$$C_{\text{Total}} = \frac{N_{l}C_{l} - N_{t}R_{t}C_{t}R_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}}{N_{l}N_{t}}, \qquad (7)$$

where and correspond to longitudinal and transverse directions pectively  $C_1$  and  $C_t$  were obtained from the second step and correspond to the longitudinal and transverse layers, respectively this specific case  $C_1$  and  $C_t$  were equal. N denotes the



Figure 4. Typical TEM images of (a) the as produced and (b) urified SWCNTs soot material

number of longitudinal and transverse layers that 6 and 5, repectively. R is the rotation matrix corresponding to the 90° rotation of the transverse layers.

## 4. Results and discussion

## 4.1. Nanotube and nanocomposite morphologicacharacterizations

Figure4 shows typical TEMmicrographsof the lasersynthesized SWCNTsefore and aftertheir chemical purificationThe nanotubes are observedself-organizemost often into bundles featuring a high aspect ratio since their length can reach up to several microns and their diameter is in the nanometer range. Figure 4a shows Whien a ge of asproduced SWCNTs. In conjunction with the SWCNTs, other carbonaceous structures and impurities such as graphite and/or metal catalyst nanoparticles p(derkins the TEM image) are observed the nanotube chemical purification enabled to remove residual catalyst particles and other carbonaceous impunities berved in figure 4b

Figure 5a shows typical Raman spectra of thepassduced and purified SWCNTs. The spectra representhree typical peaks for the nanotubes including a narrow radial breathing mode (RBM) band centered around 185, the D-band centered around 1350 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the G-band around 1060m<sup>-1</sup>. The RBM band provides relevant information in terms of SWCNTs diamete[30]. Our SWCNTs are found to have a narrow diameter distribution centered around 1.2 nm. The G-band corresponds to the symmetric E vibrational tangential mode in graphittee materials and the -D and is as a signature of disorder and/or defects in these structures. The G/D intensity ratio isabjenced to



Figure 5. (a) Raman spectra and (b) photoelectron spectra of the nanotubes before a**he**iaftbet mical purification (acidic treatment)

assess the degree of purity of the nanotubes. After subjecting the nanotubes t purification process, their G/D peak intensity ratioseen to decreasignificantly in compaison to that of the aproduced mats. This indicates that the nitric acid oxidization based purification process inherentheates additional structuratefects in the nanotubes. This is also confirmed by the XPS analysis shown in Figure 5b. The XPS setsoulds that the C1s core level peak of purified SWCNTs is consisting of three clear conteportele that of asproduced samples exhibits only a relatively narrow C=C peak. The main peaks for both curves centered around 284.5 eV are due to theoseting for the bulk structure of nanotubes. For the purified nanotubes, the two extra shoulders appearing clearly at ~ 286 eV and ~288 eV are attributed to C-O and/or C-NHx bonds, and to the COO group of carboxylic acid groups [31,32]. Based on the XPS results, the purification process has I to carboxylic groups grafting onto the SWCNTs surfaces (i.e., covalentofueliziation).

Figures6a and 6b showhe SEM images of the fracture surface of the bulk pure UV epoxy and its associated anocomposite with the SWCNT loading of 0.5 wt spectively. The fracture surface of the ure epoxy resits smooth while the anocomposite shows a layered fracture surface. The larger roughness of the fracture solf the enancomposite samplemight be attributed to ossible toughening effectinduced by the presence of carbon nanotubes as reported in literature [33]. Figures 6c and 6d show higher magnification images of their fracture surface surface or Figure 6d the absence of microscipe aggregates



Figure 6. SEM images of the fracture surface of the bulk (a) deploxy and (b) its nanocomposite containing 0.5wt% purifiedSWCNTs after ultrasonication and dependent mill mixing. (c) and (d) higher magnification images of (a) and (b), respectively.

of CNTs suggests fairly uniform dispersion of the nanotubeleast at thenicroscale. The surface modification of CNTs terface[34] and the effective mixing procedure including ultrasonication and three limit mixing [11] are believed to be responsible for achieving the good dispersion of CNTs.

# 4.2. Shear rate estimation and viscosity characterization

Figure7 shows the processelated apparent viscosity $\mu_{App}$ ) with respect to the process related apparent shear rates  $g_{pp}$  induced by the extrusion of the pure UV-epoxy and its nanocomposites for five different extrusion pressures include the process of pressure scorresponding to the low (shown as) and high (shown as 2) micro-injection pressures. The error bars are based on the standard deviations from the mean value obtained from the measurement through the estimation of shear conditions are needed only or



Figure 7. Viscosity-shear rate estimation of the pure **ldp**oxy and its nanocomposites in microchannels using a method based on capillary viscometry.

two pressures (i.e., 1Pand P2) corresponding to two micro-injection pressures, the viscosity-shear rate values were absorbanced for the three-additional pressures in order to study the rheological behavior of the materiates the pressure between P and P2. However, ince the presenviscometry is pressure-constant, different combinations of viscosity-shear rate were betained for the neat epoxy and its nanocomposite behavior of the same extrusion pressure. Therefore, lower betained for the nanocomposites compared to the neat UVepoxy at the same extrusion pressures to the increase of viscosity with the addition of SWCNTs. Since the viscosity of nanocomposite is a good indicator of the quality of nanotube dispersion, the reasonable increase of the nanocomposite mixing processes.

The incorporation of SWCNTs into the epoxy led to the apparition of shear-thinning behavior (i.e., negative slope, decrease of viscosity with increase of step a Thrasslight shearthinning behavior might be attributed to the nanotubes orientation along the flow directionat higher shear rate Table 2 lists the values estimated for  $f_{app}$  and  $J_{app}$  of the materials only for the two pressures (i.e., and P). According to capillary viscosity equations [24] applying higher pressure gradient will lead to higher shear rates. (Decomposite the two pressures of the pressure gradient will lead to higher shear rates.

In is stad material	Injectionpressure	Processrelated	Processrelated
injected material	(MPa)	(s <sup>1</sup> )	eapparent viscosity (Pa.s)
Bure LIV/apayy/	0.7	177	11.6±1.5
Fule Ovepoxy	4.2	879	10.9±1.6
Nanagampagita 0 Ewt%	0.7	87	61.2±3.5
Nanocomposite-0.5wt%	4.2	554	41.3±4.4
Nanagampagita wt%	0.7	38	115.8±7.6
Nanocomposite-wi%	4.2	414	49.1±5.2

Table 2. Estimation of the processelated apparent viscosity and the processeted apparent shear rate in microfluidic network.

on the viscosity of matrix and the aspect ratio (i.e., length/diameter) of the, fille extent of the shear forces to induce an orientation could be different [35]. In general, shighar rates consequently auses the SWCNTs to align with the flow and frequently rotate by 180° in Jeffery orbits. The Brownian motion that may impose small disturbances rotation te to the rotational motion by increasing the frequency of Jeffery orbits [36] efore, higher  $J_{app}$  corresponding to the high micro-injection pressure (i.g.). SPexpected to increase the degree of orientation of nanotubes.

# 4.3. Morphological characterization of the 3Dreinforced beams

The fracture surface of a few representativer@iDforced (resinand NCinjected) beamsin tensile testing was observed under SEM in order to examine the **matrix** ber interface.Figure8a showsa SEM image of typicafracture surface of resininjectedbeam prepared a0.7 MPaand Figure8b is closeup view of the surface of a microfiber. No perpendicular microfiber(si.e., microfibers in transverse layeas) seenand the fracture surface is extensively embedded with the surrounding matrix. This suggestsethat cohesive failure tdoplace in the region filled with the surrounding refimilar failure mechanism was observed for the fracture surface of the surface beamsin addition, no debonding and no pull-out of the embedded microfiversobserved, indicating that the wery low shrinkage of the UV-epoxy used in this study prevented the

probable shrinkage-induced detachment of the microfiber surface from the microfluidi channel walls.

Figures 8c and 8d showEM images othe nanocomposite (0.5wt% SWCNTs) microfibers (i.e., the nanocompositied the microfluidic channels) long the longitudinal direction for the nanocomposite jected beam prepared at the w and high njection pressures, respective Figure 8e and 8f show TEM images of the nanocomposite containing 1wt% for similar processing conditions. The arrows show the directilization inside the microfluidic channels along the longitudinal direction of the beams. For the beamsprepared at low injection pressure (i.e., correspondition bear rate) TEM imageof embedded microfier (Figure 8c and 8) edo not indicate any preferential orientation and the nanotube gregate are randomly oriented in the matrix clear change in the orientation of SWCNTis the microfibers along the longitudinal direction is observed for the microfluidic channels filled at high injection pressing corresponding to the higher shear rate) (Figure and 8f). The higher ressure nduced shear rate caused the nanotubeggregate to be aligned in the longitudinal channels along the direction flow. Considering the fact that the nanotubesically tend to exist as entangled agglomerates when mixed into a polymer matrix me nanotubes remain and only oriented in their aggregates owever, nost of nanotube aggregates rewell stretched along their lengths. Although the degree of orientation increased with these or teachear rateby applying higher micro-injection pressuites still far from a perfect alignment. Comparing the TEM images for two different injection pressures sug**bestis** ther shear rate not only contributes to the CNTs alignment but also entitle surther dispersion within the matrix. Note that no preferential orientation of SWCNTs aggregates observed in the microfluidic channels along the width direction of 3D-reinforceds deam both microinjection cases



Figure 8. SEM images of typical fracture surface of (a) a representative injected beam fole of typical fracture surface of (a) a representative injected beam fole of typical fracture surface of (a) a representative injected beam fole of the microfiber. The people of swcNT orientation state inside the microfiber of the longitudinal direction for the nanocomposite (0.5wt%) jected beams filled at() low injection pressure and (d) high injection pressure and for the nanocomposite (1wt%) jected filled at (e) low injection pressure and (f) high injection pressure (arrows show the direction of flow in longitudinal direction of the beam).

# 4.4. Mechanical properties

The influence of CNTs and their orientation on the 3D-reinforced beaects anical properties was studied under tensile loading sigure 9 shows stress train curves of the pure resin and nanocomposities jected beam for the low and the high injection pressures. The error bars were calculated from the 95% confidence intervals on the mean value

obtained from the measurementiple stressstrain curves of the resigned NGinjected beams show a line behavior followed by shortplasticresponse of the stress under strain beforefailure. This is a typical behavior of brittleolymers like epoxies. The failure behavior of the D-reinforced beams were slightly fluenced by the addition of the SWCNTs, estimated to be ~0.18wt% (0.5wt% in nanocomposite microfibers) and ~0.35wt% (1wt% in nanocomposite microfibers) in the overall beam volume 3 summarize the mechanical properties of the reinforced beams the bulk epoxies and their deviations. The Young's modulus and the tensile strength of their issues the beams were measured to be34GPaand 64.7MPa, respectively. For the NCinjected beams containing 0.18wt% of nanotubes, prepared at low injection pressereverage Young's modulus increased to 51 GPa, about a renhancement. Their failure strengths increased by 6% to a value of 68. MPa. The incorporation of 0.35 wt% SWCN Tust ther increased the Young's modulus (by 14%) and the tensile strength (by 13%) of the information of the beamsA fairly good dispersion of SWCNTs within the UV-epoxy matrix and also a proper stress transfer between the host polymer matrix (the boxy) and the carbon nanotubes are believed to be responsible fbe reasonable increase the NC-injected beams mechaircal properties (stiffness and strengtff)he interfacial bonding between SWCNTs and epoxy molecules through the functional groups are thought to facilitate loss detra Figure 10 represents o proposed interaction mechanisms in this study ovalent gafting of carboxylic groups at the nanotube surfaces offers interaction possibility horizony groups [37]. Non-covalent functionalization of SWCNTs using ZnPP affords the opportunity for additional interaction with epoxy matrix[10]. The ZnPP molecules can LQWHUDFWZLWKWKH-002D0Q0RWDATBHOEDd52000Dk074600yk604/vsKpbbKadedJK0E by both covalent and non-covalent functionalizations of the SWCNTs are capable to interact with epoxy groupspotentially leading to an enhanced stress transfer.

The highermicro-injection pressure led fourther improvement in mechanical propertes of the 3D reinforced beam for the same nanotube loadin to a verage Young's modulus increased by 13% for 3D reinforced beams containing 0.18 wt% nanotubes and 25% for the beams with 0.35 wt% nanotubes compared to time retaind-beams. For both nanotube loading a verge beams Young's modulus improvements



Figure 9. Tensile properties of the 3Deinforced beams: Averaged stressain curves of the resiand NG injected beams filled (a) at 0.7 MPa and (b) 4.2 MPa minipaction pressure.

were doubled when comparedtine beams prepared at lewinjection pressure. These considerable improvements (above average compared to those reported in literature as listed in Table4) in mechanical properties ould be attributed to WCNTs shear induced orientation Another contribution may come from probable better dispersion caused by breakage of aggregates at higher sheas [40]. Given the amount of SWCNTs added, the considerable eams Young's modulus provement, when compared to be bulk-nanocomposite (i.e., molded sample) and also through reported in literature (Table), suggests the effectiveness of the present manufaion until to take the advantage of nanotube orientation in microfluid context.

Table 3. Mechanical properties of the resinjected and the nanocompositipected beams prepared by micro-injection of the materials at two different shear rates and bulk epoxies.

Type of beams	Young's Modulus (GPa)	Young's Modulus Var. (%)	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Tensile Strength Var. (%)	Elongation at break (%)	Elongation at break Var. (%)
Bulk UV-epoxy	1.32±0.02		50.4±1.1		14.6±0.4	
Bulk-EPON862	3.10±0.05		79.8±1.6		3.2±0.1	
Resininjected	2.34±0.03	0	64.7±0.7	0	4.4±0.1	0
0.5wt%-NC- injected0.7 MPa	2.51±0.05	+7	68.6±1.4	+6	4.2±0.2	-4
1wt%-NC- injected0.7 MPa	2.67±0.03	+14	72.7±2.1	+13	4.1±0.1	-7
0.5wt%-NC- injected4.2 MPa	2.65±0.04	+13	71.1±1.4	+10	4.5±0.1	+2
1wt%-NC- injected4.2 MPa	2.93±0.07	+25	74.3±1.8	+15	4.4±0.1	0

Figure 10. Schematic of proposed interaction mechanisms between SWCNTs and negatively through both carboxylic group grafting [31] and negrovalent functionalization of SWCNTs [9].

#### 4.5. Stiffness prediction with homogenization model

Table5 lists the computed Young's modulus of the resin- and nanocomploasited microfibers for aligned, partially aligned and randomly oriented categoredictions of the Young's modulus of the V-epoxymicrofibers increased by about 70% following the addition of 1wt% of randomly oriented CNTs while this value for the aligned CNTs showed an increase of 05% in comparison with V-epoxyfibers. Table6 lists the final analytical predictions of the resin and NC-injected beam for the different cases studied. The NC injected beams with the CNTs alignment along the different and random

orientation in transverse direction showed the highest value of the Young's modulus. Although the stiffness of a layer in longitudinal differences with the nanotube alignment in the channels, this factor decreases the longitudinal stiffirteess sverse layers. In the resininjected beams, there agrely small differences between the analytical and experimental resul(e%). This confirms that the microfibers are strongly bonded to the surrounding matrix, as observed by SEM. Assuming there is the same initial difference between the analytical and experimental estimations for thing et the deams as for the resininjected beams, the 50% aligned CNTs in the longitudinal and randomly oriented in the transverse layers appears to be the most appropriate ass for prime. Is also supported by TEM observations. On the other hand, applying the essence ingo keep the initial difference between the analytical and experimental estimation pressure ingo injected beams and the resin injected beams under low pressure streated beams as down and the resin injected beams under low pressure streated beams and the resin injection pressure results in randomly oriented CNTs in both longitudinal and transverse layers.

The reasonable onsistency between the analytical estimation and the tensile experiments indicates that the CNTs reinforcement is far from achieving theoretical potential. The differences might be attributed to the following phenomena: probe ble presence of impurities produced along with CNTs like amorphous carbon which was not

Researcher		SWCNTs wt.%	Increase of property (%)	Normalized (Increase of property/wt.%) (%)
Barrera et al. [	[7]	1.0	31	31
Sun et al. [12]		1.0	26	26
Wang et al. [8]		0.5	30	60
Our results	0.5wt% –0.7 MPa	0.18 (whole beam)	7	39
	1wt%-0.7 MPa	0.33 (wholebeam)	14	42
	0.5wt% – 4.2 MPa	0.18 (whole beam)	13	72
	1wt%-4.2 MPa	0.33 (whole beam)	25	75
	Bulk-epoxy nanocomposite	0.3 (molded)	10	33

Table 4. Comparison of increase of storage modulus at 25°C by adding SW6N#Dexty matrices achieved in our work with those reported in literature.

Type of microfiber	Young's mo	odulus (GPa)	Young's modulus Var.(%)		
Non-reinforced	1.32		0		
	V.F. 0.5%	V.F. 1%	V.F. 0.5%	V.F. 1%	
Random oriented CNTs	1.78	2.24	35%	70%	
Partially aligned CNTs (20%)	2.25	3.26	79%	147%	
Partially aligned CNTs (50%)	3.35	5.36	153%	306%	
Aligned CNTs	4	6.67	203%	405%	

Table 5. Analytical Young's modulus of the resiand NGbased microfibers with aligned, partially alignated and randomly oriented CNTs.

considered in modelingnay affect the mechanical properties. The curvature of the flexible CNTs bundles may reduce their effective aspect ratio as obsert TetaMbimages [38]. In addition, the slippage of the inner nanotubes in bundles also y decrease the effectiveness of nanore inforcements. A homogeneous rientation state as assumed in whole cross section area of the microfluidic channels lowever, the shear rate naximumat the channel wall gradually reduces towards the channel center at which the shear rate becomes erro. In other words, the carbon nanotubes near the microfluidic channel center were subjected to very low shear rates and consequently might be random the dor the microfluid be reduced through the injection of even semal brochannels. The Moi-Tanaka model has an intrinsic accurbacy, for the volume fraction considered, it should be quite good.

CNTs orientation state in		Longitudinal Young's modulus (GPa)					
	Tranavaraa		Experi Injection	mental pressure		Analytical	
Longitudinal	Tiboro	0.7	MPa	4.2	ИРа	V.F.	V.F.
Fibers	Fibers	V.F.	V.F. 1%	V.F.	V.F. 1%	0.5%	1%
		0.5%		0.5%			
Resininjected	beams		2.34:	±0.03		2.4	ļ
Aligned	Aligned					2.97	3.54
Partially	Partially					2 62	2 80
Aligned	Aligned					2.02	2.09
Random	Random	2.51±0.05	2.67±0.03			2.59	2.78
Aligned	Random					3.01	3.62
Aligned	Partially Aligned					2.95	3.5
Partially Aligned (20%)	Random					2.69	2.96
Partially Aligned (50%)	Random			2.65±0.04	2.93±0.07	2.89	3.37

Table 6. Analytical and experimental Young's modulus of the reaind NGinjected beams with aligned partially aligned and randomly oriented CNTs.

# 5. Conclusion

Threedimensional microstructured beams reinforced with SWCNT/epoxy nanocomposite with spatial localization and orientation of the nanotubes were **Gab**ricat via the nanocomposite mic**io**jection of a microfluidic networkThe nanotub**e**rientation was performedby taking the advantages of shear flow and dimensional constraining of small-diameter channels. The SEM observationsated a fair dispersion of SWCNTs aggregates in Uvépoxy matrix after the ultrasonication and threfemill mixing. The morphological analysis using TEM showed a random orientation of SWCNT aggregate the lower shear rate, caused by the lower injection pressure, while the nanotubes w partially aligned along the direction of flow at higher shear rate, **daysthe** higher injection pressure. For the beams reinforced with the partially aligned nan**gtyrbegat**es, the improvement of Young's modulus was doubled compared to the beams with randomly oriented nanotubes. The stiffness values of the beams preblicted micromechanical model for the case of partial orientation of nanotubes were close to the experimenta indicating the efficiency of the present manufacturing method in orientation and localization of CNTs within a polymer matrix. To furthergalithe nanotubes, higher injection pressures (i.e., higher shear rate) and smaller eter microfluidic channels (i.e., higher constraining effect) could be employed flexibility of this manufacturing method enables the design of functional **3** and structural composite macroscopic products for a wide variety of applications such as structural composite applications and contexplore micro electromechanical systems. It is worth noting that the nanomaterialscinated inside the 3D microfluidic network can be used to enhance the structure properties other than mechanical such as electrical or thermal conductivity.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge financial support from FQRNT (Le Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Nature et les Techgiels).Prof. El Khakanalsoacknowledges the finanial support from NSERC (National Science Engineering Research Cotiocaihada) and PlasmaQuébec (le Réseau Stratégique du FQRNT sur la Science et Technologies des Plasmas)The authors would like to thank the technical support of Mr. Charles Tremblay for the beam grinding. Hesameddin Tabatabayi for the tensile testingNandNima Nateghifor the TEM characterization.

### Appendix A

"The components of Eshelby tensor for a fibrous reinforcement are [27]:

$$S_{2222} = \frac{1}{2(1 Q_m)} (1 2_m \frac{3a^2}{a^2} \frac{1}{1} (1 Q_m \frac{3a^2}{a^2})g),$$

$$\begin{split} & S_{1133} \quad S_{3311} \quad \frac{1}{4(1-Q_{\rm m})} \frac{a^2}{2(a^2-1)} \quad (1-2Q_{\rm m} \quad \frac{3}{4(a^2-1)}) \ g \\ & S_{1122} \quad S_{3322} \quad \frac{1}{2(1-m_{\rm m})} \frac{a^2}{a^2-1} \quad \frac{1}{4(1-Q_{\rm m})} (\frac{3a^2}{(a^2-1)} \quad (1-2Q_{\rm m}))g \ , \\ & S_{2211} \quad S_{2233} \quad \frac{1}{2(1-m_{\rm m})} (1-2m_{\rm m} \quad \frac{1}{a^2-1}) \quad \frac{1}{2(1-Q_{\rm m})} (\frac{3}{2(a^2-1)} \quad 1-2Q_{\rm m})g \ , \\ & S_{3131} \quad \frac{1}{4(1-Q_{\rm m})} (\frac{a^2}{2(a^2-1)}) \quad (\frac{3}{4(a^2-1)} \quad 1-2Q_{\rm m})g \ , \end{split}$$

$$S_{1212} = S_{3232} = \frac{1}{4(1-Q_{m})} (1-2_{m} - \frac{a^{2}-1}{2(a^{2}-1)}) = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{3(a^{2}-1)}{4(a^{2}-1)} - 1 - 2Q_{m})g,$$

where a is the aspect ratio of the reinforcement defined as the ratio of itsh leongits diameter and for fiber reinforcement is given by:

,

$$g = \frac{a}{(a^2 - 1)^{3/2}} a(a^2 - 1)^{1/2} \cosh^1 a^2_{\rm H}$$

Then, the Eshelby tensor, has the following matrix form:

# References

- 1. Ear Y and Silverman **EMRS** Bulletin 2007;32(4):32**8**34.
- 2. Mirfakhrai T, KrishnaPrasad R, Nojeh A, and Madden JDManotechnology 20089(31):315706, 1-8.

- 3. Sahoo NG, Jung YC, Yoo HJ, and Cho. Job provide Science and Technology 2007;67(9):1920 1929.
- Varadan VK, Varadan VV, and Motojima S. Threeienensional polymeric and ceramic MEMS and their applications. Smart Structures and Materials: Smart Electronics and MEMS. San Diego, CA, 1996.
- 5. Varadan VK, Xie, J., Ji, T. BioMEMS and Smart Nanostructures, Proceed Stell 5 2001;4590:134141.
- 6. Coleman JN, Khan U, and Gun'ko YK dvanced Materials 2006;18(6):687996.
- 7. Barrera EV, Zhu JP, eng HQ, RodrigueMacias F, Margrave JL, Khabashesku VN, Imam AM, and Lozano K Advanced Functional Materials 2004;14(7):66488.
- 8. Wang S, Liang R, Wang B, and Zhang Nanotechnolog 2008;19(8):085710,-7.
- 9. Wang SR, Liang ZY, Liu T, Wang B, and Zhang Manotechnology 2006;17(6):1551557.
- 10. Lebel LL, Aissa B, El Khakani MA, and Therriault **D**omposites Science and Technology 2010;70(3):518524.
- 11. Nakashima N and Fujigaya Chemistry Letters 2007;36(6):696297.
- 12. Sun L, Warren GL, O'Reilly JY, Everett WN, Lee SM, Davis D, Lagoud, and Sue HJCarbon 2008;46(2):326328.
- 13. Zhu J, Kim JD, Peng HQ, Margrave JL, Khabashesku VN, and Barreral art b Letters 2003;3(8):11071113.
- 14. Fan ZH and Advani ScPolymer 2005;46(14):5232240.
- 15. Abbasi S, Carreau PJ, and Derdour Polymer 2010;51(4):92235.
- 16. Kimura T, Ago H, Tobita M, Ohshima S, Kyotani M, and Yumura Aldvanced Materials 2002;14(19):13801383.
- 17. Chronakis ISJournal of Materials Processing Technology 2005;167(283-293.
- Zhou W, Vavro J, Guthy C, Winey KI, Fischer JE, Ericson LM, Rame Stabi, R, Davis VA,
  Kittrell C, Pasquali M, Hauge RH, and Smalley. R Eurnal of Applied Physics 2004;95(2):6695.
- 19. Sandler JKW, Pegel S, Cadek M, Gojny F, van Es M, Lohm**Balau**, WJ, Schulte K, Windle AH, and Shaffer MSPPolymer 2004;45(6):200**2**015.
- 20. Lebel LL, Aissa B, Paez OA, El Khakani MA, and TherriaultJDurnal of Micromechanics and Microengineering2009;19(12):125009,-7.
- 21. Braidy N, El Khakani MA, and BottoGA. Chemical Physics Letters 2002;3542()t88-92.
- 22. Therriault D, Shepherd RF, White SR, and Lewis Aldwanced Materials 2005;17(4):3999.
- 23. Therriault D, White SR, and Lewis JN ature Materials 2003;2(4):262571.
- 24. Bruneaux J, Therriault D, arl-deuzey MC Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering 2008;18(11):115020-11.
- 25. Mori T, Tanaka KActa metallurgica 1973;21:57374.

- 26. Eshelby JDProceedings of the Royal Society of London A 1957;241(1226)3966
- 27. SchjodtThomsen J and Pyt Mechanics of Materials 2001;33(10):5544.
- 28. Maekawa Z, Hamada H, and Yokoyama Okomposite Structures 5 1989:7014.
- 29. Wang LF and Zheng Q&pplied Physics Letter 2007;90(15):153113,-3.
- 30. Bandow S, Asaka S, Saito Y, Rao AM, Grigorian L, Hitter E, and Eklund P. Physical Review Letters 1998;80(17):3779782.
- 31. Baker SE, Cai W, Lasseter TL, Weidkamp KP, and Hamer Stand Letters 2002;2(12):14-113417.
- 32. Okpalugo TIT, Papakonstantinou P, Murphy H, McLaughlin J, and Brow DNMarbon 2005;43(1):153161.
- 33. Gojny FH, Wichmann MHG, Fiedler B, and Schulte@mposites Science and Technology 2005;65(1516):23092313.
- 34. Thostenson ET and Chou T.Warbon 2006;44(14):30232029.
- 35. Hobbie EK, Wang H, Kim H, LirGibson S, and Grulke ER hysicsof Fluids 2003;15(5):1196 1202.
- 36. Hogberg SM and Lundstrom TBlastics Rubber and Composites 2011;40(2) 9.0
- 37. Moniruzzaman M, Du FM, Romero N, and Winey. Roblymer 2006;47(1):29298.
- 38. Li XD, Gao HS, Scrivens WA, Fei DL, Xu XY, Sutton MR,eynolds AP, and Myrick MLJournal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology 2007;7(7):22097.